arXiv:0707.1760v2 [math.OA] 9 Sep 2007

E_0-dilation of strongly commuting CP_0-semigroups

Orr Moshe Shalit

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We prove that every strongly commuting pair of CP_0-semigroups has a minimal E_0-dilation. This is achieved in two major steps, interesting in themselves: **1:** we show that a strongly commuting pair of CP_0-semigroups can be represented via a two parameter product system representation; **2:** we prove that every fully coisometric product system representation has a fully coisometric, isometric dilation. In particular, we obtain that every commuting pair of CP_0-semigroups on $B(H)$, H finite dimensional, has an E_0-dilation.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space H. A *CP_0-semigroup on* $\mathcal{M}$ is a family $\Theta = \{\Theta_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ of normal, unital, completely positive maps on $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the semigroup property

$$\Theta_{s+t}(a) = \Theta_s(\Theta_t(a)) \ , \ s,t \geq 0, a \in \mathcal{M},$$

and the continuity condition

$$\lim_{t\to t_0} \langle \Theta_t(a)h, g\rangle = \langle \Theta_{t_0}(a)h, g\rangle \ , \ a \in \mathcal{M}, h, g \in H.$$

A CP_0-semigroup is also called a *Quantum Markov Process*, as it may be considered as a noncommutative analog of a Markov process.

A CP_0-semigroup is called an *E_0-semigroup* if each of its elements is a $*$-endomorphism. In the past two decades, E_0-semigroups have been extensively studied (for a thorough introduction, including many references and "historical" remarks, see [1]). Although every E_0-semigroup is a CP_0-semigroup, non-multiplicative CP_0-semigroups are known to be quite different from E_0-semigroups. However, it has been proved that, in some sense, every CP_0-semigroup is "part" of a bigger E_0-semigroup. To be more precise, we say that a quadruple $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ is an *E_0-dilation* of Θ if K is a Hilbert space,

$u : H \to K$ is an isometry, $\mathcal{R}$ is a von Neumann algebra satisfying $u^*\mathcal{R}u = \mathcal{M}$, and α is an E$_0$-semigroup such that

$$\Theta_t(u^*bu) = u^*\alpha_t(b)u \ , \ b \in \mathcal{R}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. It has been proved by several authors, using several different techniques, that every CP$_0$-semigroup has an E$_0$-dilation (Bhat–Skeide [2], SeLegue [8], Muhly–Solel [6] and Arveson [1]. We note that most of the authors have this result also for not necessarily unital semigroups). This is the precise sense in which we mean that every CP$_0$-semigroup is "part" of an E$_0$-semigroup.

If $\mathcal{S}$ is a topological semigroup, one can define the notions of CP$_0$ and E$_0$-semigroups over $\mathcal{S}$. It is then natural to ask wether every CP$_0$-semigroup $\Theta = \{\Theta_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ over $\mathcal{S}$ has an E$_0$-dilation. In this paper we make a first attempt to prove the existence of a minimal E$_0$-dilation for a CP$_0$-semigroup over $\mathbb{R}^2_+ := [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty)$. Let us now describe what we actually achieve.

If $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are two CP$_0$-semigroups that commute (that is, for all $t, s \geq 0$, $R_sS_t = S_tR_s$) then we can define a two parameter CP$_0$-semigroup $P_{(s,t)} = R_sS_t$. And if we begin with a CP$_0$-semigroup $\{P_{(t,s)}\}_{(t,s)\in\mathbb{R}^2_+}$, then we can define a commuting pair of semigroups by $R_t = P_{(t,0)}$ and $S_t = P_{(0,t)}$ (there are some non-trivial continuity issues to take care of. This will be done below, in Lemma 6.2). The main result of this paper is the following theorem:

Theorem. *Let $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be two strongly commuting CP$_0$-semigroups on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$, where H is a separable Hilbert space. Then the two parameter CP$_0$-semigroup P defined by*

$$P_{(s,t)} := R_sS_t$$

has a minimal E$_0$-dilation.

The condition of *strong commutativity* that appears in the above theorem is a technical one, and it is not yet completely understood. However, there are many pairs of strongly commuting CP$_0$-semigroups, and in the appendix we give some sufficient, and in some cases even necessary, conditions for strong commutativity. These give rise to many examples of two-parameter semigroups for which the above theorem applies. In particular, by Proposition 8.1 below, if H is finite dimensional then every pair of commuting CP maps on $B(H)$ commute strongly, so every pair of commuting CP$_0$-semigroups on $B(H)$ has a minimal E$_0$-dilation (Corollary 6.7).

Let us now give an overview of the paper, which should also give some idea of how the above theorem is proved. In what follows, we shall use the notation of the theorem stated above.

After reviewing some preliminary notions and setting the notation in Section 2, we explain in Section 3 the approach of Muhly and Solel to dilation theory (as it appeared in [6]). This is the approach that we will be using.

In Section 4, after introducing the notion of strong commutativity and proving a few related results, we construct a (discrete) product system of $\mathcal{M}'$-

correspondences X over $\mathbb{R}_+^2$, together with a fully coisometric, completely contractive covariant representation (σ, T) of X on H, such that for all $a \in \mathcal{M}, (s,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$,

$$P_{(s,t)}(a) = \tilde{T}_{(s,t)} \left(I_{X(s,t)} \otimes a \right) \tilde{T}_{(s,t)}^* .$$

It is in the construction of the product system X that strong commutativity plays its role.

In Section 5, we prove that every fully coisometric, completely contractive covariant representation of a product system over $\mathbb{R}_+^k$ (and over some more general semigroups, as well) can be dilated to an *isometric* and fully coisometric covariant representation. We do this using the method of "representing product system representations as contractive semigroups on a Hilbert space", which we have introduced in [9].

In Section 6 we show that the isometric dilation (ρ, V) of the product system representation (σ, T) obtained in Section 5 gives rise to our sought after E_0-dilation in the following way (up to a few simplifications that we must make here):

Let K be the Hilbert space on which V represents X, put $\mathcal{R} = \rho(M')'$, and let u be the isometric inclusion $H \rightarrow K$. The E_0-dilation we are looking for is $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$, where the semigroup $\alpha = \{\alpha_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+^2}$ is defined by

$$\alpha_s(b) = \tilde{V}_s (I \otimes b) \tilde{V}_s^* \ , \ s \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, b \in \mathcal{R}.$$

At the end of section 6 we show that the dilation that we constructed is minimal, and we show that if $\mathcal{M} = B(H)$ then $\mathcal{R} = B(K)$.

In Section 7 we close this paper by considering some possible directions for future research. We give an example of a finding an E_0-dilation to a CP_0-semigroup over $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ where strong commutativity does not occur. We also briefly sketch our program for dilating k strongly commuting, unital CP maps.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 C^*/W^*-correspondences, their products and their representations

Definition 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^*-algebra. A* Hilbert C^*-correspondences over $\mathcal{A}$ *is a (right) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module E which carries an adjointable, left action of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Definition 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a W^*-algebra. A* Hilbert W^*-correspondences over $\mathcal{M}$ *is a self-adjoint Hilbert C^*-correspondence E over $\mathcal{M}$, such that the map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ which gives rise to the left action is normal.*

The following notion of representation of a C^*-correspondence was studied extensively in [5], and turned out to be a very useful tool.

Definition 2.3 *Let E be a C^*-correspondence over $\mathcal{A}$, and let H be a Hilbert space. A pair (σ, T) is called a* completely contractive covariant representation *of E on H (or, for brevity, a* c.c. representation*) if*

1. *$T : E \to B(H)$ is a completely contractive linear map;*
2. *$\sigma : A \to B(H)$ is a nondegenerate $*$-homomorphism; and*
3. *$T(xa) = T(x)\sigma(a)$ and $T(a \cdot x) = \sigma(a)T(x)$ for all $x \in E$ and all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a W^*-algebra and E is W^*-correspondence then we also require that σ be normal.

Given a C^*-correspondence E and a c.c. representation (σ, T) of E on H, one can form the Hilbert space $E \otimes_\sigma H$, which is defined as the Hausdorff completion of the algebraic tensor product with respect to the inner product

$$\langle x \otimes h, y \otimes g\rangle = \langle h, \sigma(\langle x, y\rangle)g\rangle.$$

One then defines $\tilde{T} : E \otimes_\sigma H \to H$ by

$$\tilde{T}(x \otimes h) = T(x)h.$$

As in the theory of contractions on a Hilbert space, there are certain particularly nice representations which deserve to be singled out.

Definition 2.4 *A c.c. representation (σ, T) is called* isometric *if for all $x, y \in E$,*

$$T(x)^*T(y) = \sigma(\langle x, y\rangle).$$

(This is the case if and only if $\tilde{T}$ is an isometry.) It is called fully coisometric *if $\tilde{T}$ is a coisometry.*

Given two Hilbert C^*-correspondences E and F over $\mathcal{A}$, the *balanced* (or *inner*) tensor product $E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F$ is a Hilbert C^*-correpondence over $\mathcal{A}$ defined to be the Hausdorf completion of the algebraic tensor product with respect to the inner product

$$\langle x \otimes y, w \otimes z\rangle = \langle y, \langle x, w\rangle \cdot z\rangle \ , \ x, w \in E, y, z \in F.$$

The left and right actions are defined as $a \cdot (x \otimes y) = (a \cdot x) \otimes y$ and $(x \otimes y)a = x \otimes (ya)$, respectively, for all $a \in A, x \in E, y \in F$. We shall usually omit the subscript $\mathcal{A}$, writing just $E \otimes F$. When working in the context of W^*-correspondences, that is, if E and F are W^*-correspondences and $\mathcal{A}$ is a W^*-algebra, then $E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F$ is understood do be the *self-dual extension* of the above construction.

Suppose $\mathcal{S}$ is an abelian cancellative semigroup with identity 0 and $p : X \to \mathcal{S}$ is a family of W^*-correspondences over $\mathcal{A}$. Write $X(s)$ for the correspondence $p^{-1}(s)$ for $s \in \mathcal{S}$. We say that X is a (discrete) *product system* over $\mathcal{S}$ if X is a semigroup, p is a semigroup homomorphism and, for each $s, t \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{0\}$, the map $X(s) \times X(t) \ni (x, y) \mapsto xy \in X(s + t)$ extends to an isomorphism $U_{s,t}$ of correspondences from $X(s) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X(t)$ onto $X(s + t)$. The associativity of the multiplication means that, for every $s, t, r \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$U_{s+t,r} \left(U_{s,t} \otimes I_{X(r)}\right) = U_{s,t+r} \left(I_{X(s)} \otimes U_{t,r}\right). \tag{1}$$

We also require that $X(0) = \mathcal{A}$ and that the multiplications $X(0)\times X(s) \to X(s)$ and $X(s) \times X(0) \to X(s)$ are given by the left and right actions of $\mathcal{A}$ and $X(s)$.

Definition 2.5 *Let H be a Hilbert space, $\mathcal{A}$ a W^*-algebra and X a product system of Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-correspondences over the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$. Assume that $T : X \to B(H)$, and write T_s for the restriction of T to $X(s)$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$, and σ for T_0. T (or (σ, T)) is said to be a* completely contractive covariant representation *of X if*

1. *For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, (σ, T_s) is a c.c. representation of $X(s)$; and*
2. *$T(xy) = T(x)T(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

T is said to be an isometric (fully coisometric) representation if it is an isometric (fully coisometric) representation on every fiber $X(s)$.

Since we shall not be concerned with any other kind of representation, we shall call a completely contractive covariant representation of a product system simply a *representation*.

2.2 CP-semigroups and E-dilations

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a unital subsemigroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^k$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H. A *CP map* is a completely positive, contractive and normal map on $\mathcal{M}$. A *CP-semigroup over $\mathcal{S}$* is a family $\{\Theta_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ of CP maps on $\mathcal{M}$ such that

1. For all $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$
$$\Theta_s \circ \Theta_t = \Theta_{s+t}\,;$$
2. $\Theta_0 = \mathbf{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$;
3. For all $h, g \in H$ and all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, the function
$$\mathcal{S} \ni s \mapsto \langle \Theta_s(a)h, g\rangle$$
is continuous.

A CP-semigroup is called an *E-semigroup* if it consists of $*$-endomorphisms. A CP (E) - semigroup is called a *CP_0 (E_0)-semigroup* if all its elements are unital.

Definition 2.6 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra of operators acting on a Hilbert space H, and let $\Theta = \{\Theta_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ be a CP-semigroup over the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$. An* E-dilation *of Θ is a quadruple $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$, where K is a Hilbert space, $u : H \to K$ is an isometry, $\mathcal{R}$ is a von Neumann algebra satisfying $u^*\mathcal{R}u = \mathcal{M}$, and α is an E-semigroup over $\mathcal{S}$ such that*

$$\Theta_s(u^*au) = u^*\alpha_s(a)u\ ,\ a \in \mathcal{R} \tag{2}$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

If $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ is a dilation of Θ, then $(\mathcal{M}, \Theta)$ is called a compression *of $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$.*

Let us review some basic facts regarding E-dilations. Most of the content of the following paragraphs is spelled out in Chapter 8 of [1], for the case where $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}_+$.

Note that by putting $a = uxu^*$ in (2), for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, one has

$$\Theta_s(x) = u^* \alpha_s(uxu^*)u \ , \ x \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{3}$$

If one identifies $\mathcal{M}$ with $u\mathcal{M}u^*$, H with uH, and p with uu^*, one may give the following equivalent definition, which we shall use interchangeably with definition 2.6: *a triple* $(p, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ *is called a* dilation *of* Θ *if* $\mathcal{R}$ *is a von Neumann algebra containing* $\mathcal{M}$, α *is an E-semigroup on* $\mathcal{R}$ *and* p *is a projection in* $\mathcal{R}$ *such that* $\mathcal{M} = p\mathcal{R}p$ *and*

$$\Theta_s(pap) = p\alpha_s(a)p$$

holds for all $s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{R}$.

With this change of notation, we have

$$p\alpha_s(a)p = \Theta_s(pap) = \Theta_s(p^2ap^2) = p\alpha_s(pap)p,$$

so, taking $a = 1 - p$,

$$0 = p\alpha_s(p(1-p)p)p = p\alpha_s(1-p)p.$$

This means that for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $\alpha_s(1-p) \leq 1-p$. A projection with this property is called *coinvariant* (note that if α is an E$_0$-semigroup then p is a coinvariant projection if and only if it is increasing, i.e., $\alpha_s(p) \geq p$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$). Equivalently,

$$uu^*\alpha_s(1) = uu^*\alpha_s(uu^*) \ , \ s \in \mathcal{S}. \tag{4}$$

One can also show that (3) and (4) together imply (2), and this leads to another equivalent definition of E-dilation of a CP-semigroup.

Let $\Theta = \{\Theta_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ be a CP-semigroup on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, and let $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ be an E-dilation of Θ. Assume that $q \in \mathcal{R}$ is a projection satisfying $uu^* \leq q$. Assume furthermore that q is coninvariant. Then one can show that the maps

$$\beta_s : a \mapsto q\alpha_s(a)q$$

are the elements of a CP-semigroup on $q\mathcal{R}q$.

If the maps $\{\beta_s\}$ happen to be multiplicative on $q\mathcal{R}q$, then we say that q is *multiplicative*. In this case, $(qK, u, q\mathcal{R}q, \beta)$ is an E-dilation of Θ, which is in some sense "smaller" than $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$.

On the other hand, consider the von Neumann algebra

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = W^*\left(\bigcup_{s\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_s(u\mathcal{M}u^*)\right).$$

This algebra is clearly invariant under α, and it contains $u\mathcal{M}u^*$. Thus, restricting α to $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, we obtain a "smaller" dilation. This discussion leads to the following definition.

Definition 2.7 *Let $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ be an E-dilation of the CP-semigroup Θ. $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ is said to a* minimal *dilation if there is no multiplicative, coinvariant projection $1 \neq q \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $uu^* \leq q$, and if*

$$\mathcal{R} = W^* \left(\bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_s(u\mathcal{M}u^*) \right) . \tag{5}$$

In [1] Arveson defines a minimal dilation slightly differently:

Definition 2.8 *Let $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ be an E-dilation of the CP-semigroup Θ. $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ is said to a* minimal *dilation if the central support of uu^* in $\mathcal{R}$ is 1, and if (5) holds.*

The two definitions have been shown to be equivalent in the case where Θ is a CP$_0$-semigroup over $\mathbb{R}_+$ ([1], Section 8.9). We now treat the general case.

Proposition 2.9 *Definition 2.7 holds if 2.8 does.*

Proof. Assume that Definition 2.7 is violated. If (5) is violated, then Definition 2.8 is, too. So assume that (5) holds, and that there is a multiplicative, coinvariant projection $1 \neq q \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $uu^* \leq q$. Denote $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_s(a) : a \in u\mathcal{M}u^*, s \in \mathcal{S}\}$. By a trivial generalization of Proposition 8.9.4 of [1], q commutes with $\alpha_s(q\mathcal{R}q)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, so q commutes with $\mathcal{A}$, thus q commutes with $W^*(\bigcup_{s\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_s(u\mathcal{M}u^*))$. In other words, q is central in $\mathcal{R}$. ∎

Wether or not the two definitions are equivalent remains an interesting open question. To prove that they are, it would be enough to show that the central support of $p = uu^*$ in $W^*\left(\bigcup_{s\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_s(u\mathcal{M}u^*)\right)$ is a coinvariant projection, because the central support is clearly a multiplicative projection. This has been done by Arveson in Proposition 8.3.4, [1], for the case of a CP$_0$-semigroup over $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}_+$. Arveson's proof makes use of the order structure of $\mathbb{R}_+$ and cannot be extended to the case $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ with which we are concerned in this paper.

3 Overview of the Muhly–Solel approach to dilation

In this section we describe the approach of Muhly and Solel to dilation of CP-semigroups on von Neumann algebras. This approach was used by Muhly and Solel to dilate CP-semigroups over $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+$ ([6]), and later by Solel for semigroups over $\mathbb{N}^2$ ([11]). Our program is to adapt this approach for semigroups over $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}_+^2$.

3.1 The basic strategy

Let Θ be a CP-semigroup over the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$, usually acting on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ of operators in $B(H)$. The dilation is carried out in two main steps. In the first step, a (discrete) product system of $\mathcal{M}'$-correspondences X

over $\mathcal{S}$ is constructed, together with a c.c. representation (σ, T) of X on H, such that for all $a \in \mathcal{M}, s \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\Theta_s(a) = \tilde{T_s}\left(I_{X(s)} \otimes a\right)\tilde{T_s}^*, \tag{6}$$

where T_s is the restriction of T to $X(s)$. In Proposition 2.21, [6], it is proven that for any c.c. representation (σ, T) of a W^*-correspondence $\mathcal{E}$ over a W^*-algebra $\mathcal{N}$, the mapping $a \mapsto \tilde{T_s}\left(I_{X(s)} \otimes a\right)\tilde{T_s}^*$ is a normal, completely positive map on $\sigma(\mathcal{N})'$ (for all s). It is also shown that if T is isometric then this map is multiplicative. Having this in mind, one sees that a natural way to continue the process of dilation will be to "dilate" (σ, T) to an isometric c.c. representation.

Definition 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^*-algebra, X be a product system of $\mathcal{A}$-correspondences over the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$, and (σ, T) a c.c. representation of X on a Hilbert space H. An* isometric dilation *of (σ, T) is an isometric representation (ρ, V) of X on a Hilbert space $K \supseteq H$, such that*

(i) H reduces ρ and $\rho(a)\big|_H = P_H\rho(a)\big|_H = \sigma(a)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$;

(ii) for all $s \in \mathcal{S}, x \in X_s$, one has $P_H V_s(x)\big|_{K \ominus H} = 0$;

(iii) for all $s \in \mathcal{S}, x \in X_s$, one has $P_H V_s(x)\big|_H = T_s(x)$.

Such a dilation is called *minimal* in case the smallest subspace of K containing H and invariant under every $V_s(x)$, $x \in X, s \in \mathcal{S}$, is all of K.

It will be convenient at times to regard an isometric dilation as a quadruple (K, u, V, ρ), where (ρ, V) are as above and $u : H \to K$ is an isometry.

Constructing a minimal isometric dilation (K, u, V, ρ) of the representation (σ, T) appearing in equation (6) constitutes the second step of the dilation process. Then one has to show that if $\mathcal{R} = \rho(\mathcal{M}')'$, and α is defined by

$$\alpha_s(a) := \tilde{V_s}\left(I_{X(s)} \otimes a\right)\tilde{V_s}^*\ ,\ a \in \mathcal{R},$$

then the quadruple $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ is an E-dilation for $(\Theta, \mathcal{M})$. In [5], [6] and [11], it is proved that any c.c. representation of a product system over $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{R}_+$ or $\mathbb{N}^2$ (the latter two, X is assumed to be a product system of W^*-correspondence, and σ is assumed to be normal), has a minimal isometric dilation. Moreover, it is shown that if X is a product system of W^*-correspondences and σ is assumed to be normal then ρ is also normal. When the product system is over $\mathbb{N}$ or $\mathbb{R}_+$, the minimal isometric dilation is also unique. From these results, the authors deduce the existence of an E-dilation of a CP-semigroup Θ acting on a von Neumann $\mathcal{M}$. When Θ is a CP-semigroup over $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}_+$ and H is seperable, then α is shown to be an E-semigroup that is a minimal dilation.

3.2 Description of the construction of the product system and representation for one parameter semigroups

In this subsection we give a detailed description of Muhly and Solel's construction of the product system and c.c. representation associated with a one-parameter CP-semigroup ([6]). We shall use this construction in section 4. We note that the original construction in [6] was carried out for CP_0-semigroups, it works just as well for CP-semigroups.

Let $\Theta = \{\Theta_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a CP-semigroup acting on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ of operators in $B(H)$ (we will not really use any assumptions regarding the continuity with respect to t). Let $\mathfrak{P}(t)$ denote the collection of partitions of the closed unit interval $[0,t]$, ordered by refinement. For $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}(t)$, we define a Hilbert space $H_{\mathfrak{p},t}$ by

$$H_{\mathfrak{p},t} := \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\Theta_{t_1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\Theta_{t_2-t_1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes \cdots \otimes_{\Theta_{t-t_{n-1}}} H,$$

where $\mathfrak{p} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = t\}$, and the RHS of the above equation is the Hausdorff completion of the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M} \otimes \cdots \otimes H$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes h, S_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes S_n \otimes k\rangle = \\ \langle h, \Theta_{t-t_{n-1}}(T_n^* \Theta_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}}(T_{n-1}^* \cdots \Theta_{t_1}(T_1^* S_1) \cdots S_{n-1})S_n)k\rangle.$$

$H_{\mathfrak{p},t}$ is a left $\mathcal{M}$-module via the action $S \cdot (T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes h) = ST_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes h$. We now define the intertwining spaces

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t}) = \{X \in B(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t}) : \forall S \in \mathcal{M}. XS = S \cdot X\}.$$

The inner product

$$\langle X_1, X_2\rangle := X_1^* X_2,$$

for $X_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t})$, together with the right and left actions

$$(XR)h := X(Rh),$$

and

$$(RX)h := (I \otimes \cdots \otimes I \otimes R)Xh,$$

for $R \in \mathcal{M}', X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t})$, make $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t})$ into a W^*-correspondence over $\mathcal{M}'$.

The Hilbert spaces $H_{\mathfrak{p},t}$ and W^*-correspondences $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t})$ form inductive systems as follows. Let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}' \in \mathfrak{P}(t)$, $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{p}'$. In the particular case where $\mathfrak{p} = \{0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_k < t_{k+1} < \cdots < t_n = t\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}' = \{0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_k < \tau < t_{k+1} < \cdots < t_n = t\}$, we can define a Hilbert space isometry $v_0 : H_{\mathfrak{p},t} \to H_{\mathfrak{p}',t}$ by

$$v_0(T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{k+1} \otimes T_{k+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes h) = \\ T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{k+1} \otimes I \otimes T_{k+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_n \otimes h.$$

This map gives rise to an isometry of W^*-correspondences $v : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t}) \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p}',t})$ by $v(X) = v_0 \circ X$.

Now, if $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{p}'$ are any partitions in $\mathfrak{B}(t)$, then we can define $v_{0,\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}'} : H_{\mathfrak{p},t} \to H_{\mathfrak{p}',t}$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}'} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t}) \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p}',t})$ by composing a finite number of maps such as v_0 and v constructed in the previous paragraph, and we get legitimate arrow maps. Now one can form two different direct limits:

$$H_t := \varinjlim (H_{\mathfrak{p},t}, v_{0,\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}'})$$

and

$$E(t) := \varinjlim (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t}), v_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}'}).$$

The inductive limit also supplies us with embeddings of the blocks $v_{0,\mathfrak{p},\infty} : H_{\mathfrak{p},t} \to H_t$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p},\infty} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p},t}) \to E(t)$. One can also define interwining spaces $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_t)$, each of which has the structure of an $\mathcal{M}'$-correspondence, and these spaces are isomorphic as W^*-correspondences to the spaces $E(t)$. $\{E(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the product sytem of $\mathcal{M}'$-correspondences that we are looking for. We have yet to describe the the c.c. representation (σ, T) that will "represent" Θ as in equation (6) (with $X(s)$ replaced by $E(s)$).

The sought after representation is the so called "identity representation", which we now describe. First, we set $\sigma = T_0 = \mathbf{id}_{\mathcal{M}'}$. Next, let $t > 0$. For $\mathfrak{p} = \{0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_n = t\}$, the formula

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(h) = I \otimes \cdots \otimes I \otimes h$$

defines an isometry $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}} : H \to H_{\mathfrak{p},t}$, with adjoint given by the formula

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}^*(X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n \otimes h) = \Theta_{t-t_{n-1}}(\Theta_{t_{n-1}-t_{n-2}}(\cdots(\Theta_{t_1}(X_1)X_2)\cdots X_{n-1})X_n)h.$$

For $\mathfrak{p}'$ a refinement of $\mathfrak{p}$, one computes $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}^* = \iota_{\mathfrak{p}'}^* \circ v_{0,\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}'}$. This induces a unique map $\iota_t^* : H_t \to H$ that satisfies $\iota_t^* \circ v_{0,\mathfrak{p},\infty} = \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. The c.c. representation T_t on $E(t)$ is given by

$$T_t(X) = \iota_t^* \circ X,$$

where we have identified $E(t)$ with $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_t)$.

4 Representing strongly commuting CP$_0$-semigroups

In this section and in the next two we prove our main result: *every pair of strongly commuting CP$_0$-semigroups has an E_0-dilation.* As we mentioned in the previous section, our program is to prove this result using the Muhly-Solel approach, which consists of two main steps. In this section we concentrate on the first step: the representation of a pair of strongly commuting CP-semigroups using a product system representation via a formula such as equation (6) above. This will be done in the third subsection, whereas the first and second subsections will be devoted to the notion of strong commutativity and its implications.

Throughout this and the two following sections, $\mathcal{M}$ will be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H. There is a natural correspondence between two parameter semigroups of maps and pairs of commuting one parameter semigroups. Indeed, if $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are two semigroups that commute (that is, for all $t, s \geq 0$, $R_s S_t = S_t R_s$) then we can define a two parameter semigroup $P_{(s,t)} = R_s S_t$. And if we begin with a semigroup $\{P_{(t,s)}\}_{(t,s)\in\mathbb{R}^2_+}$, then we can define a commuting pair of semigroups by $R_t = P_{(t,0)}$ and $S_t = P_{(0,t)}$. It is not trivial that P is continuous (in the relevant sense) if and only if R and S are – it follows from the fact that $(s, X) \mapsto R_s(X)$ is jointly contiuous in the weak topology (we shall make this argument precise in Lemma 6.2). From now on we fix the notation in the preceding paragraph, and we shall use either $\{P_{(t,s)}\}_{(t,s)\in\mathbb{R}^2_+}$ or the pair $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ to denote a fixed two-parameter CP-semigroup. Note also that if $\{\alpha_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\beta_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are *commuting* E-dilations of $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ acting on the same von Neumann algebra, then $\{\alpha_t\beta_s\}_{t,s\geq 0}$ is an E-dilation of $\{P_{(t,s)}\}_{(t,s)\in\mathbb{R}^2_+}$, and vice versa.

4.1 Strongly commuting CP maps

Let Θ and Φ be CP maps on $\mathcal{M}$. We define the Hilbert space $\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Phi \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Theta H$ to be the Hausdorff completion of the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\text{alg}} H$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle a \otimes b \otimes h, c \otimes d \otimes k\rangle = \langle h, \Theta(b^*\Phi(a^*c)d)k\rangle.$$

Definition 4.1 *Let Θ and Φ be CP maps on $\mathcal{M}$. We say that they* commute strongly *if there is a unitary $u : \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Phi \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Theta H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Theta \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Phi H$ such that:*

(i) $u(a \otimes_\Phi I \otimes_\Theta h) = a \otimes_\Theta I \otimes_\Phi h$ *for all* $a \in \mathcal{M}$ *and* $h \in H$.

(ii) $u(ca \otimes_\Phi b \otimes_\Theta h) = (c \otimes I_M \otimes I_H)u(a \otimes_\Phi b \otimes_\Theta h)$ *for* $a, b, c \in \mathcal{M}$ *and* $h \in H$.

(iii) $u(a \otimes_\Phi b \otimes_\Theta dh) = (I_M \otimes I_M \otimes d)u(a \otimes_\Phi b \otimes_\Theta h)$ *for* $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$, $d \in \mathcal{M}'$ *and* $h \in H$.

The notion of strong commutation was introduced by Solel in [11]. Note that if two CP maps commute strongly, then they commute. The converse is false (for concrete examples see Subsections 7.1 and 8.5). In the appendix we shall give many examples of strongly commuting pairs of CP maps, and for some von Neumann algebras we shall give a complete characterization of strong commutativity. For the time being let us just state the fact that if H is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, then any two commuting CP maps on $B(H)$ strongly commute (see Subsection 8.3). The "true" significance of strong commutation comes from a bijection between pairs of strongly commuting CP maps and product systems over $\mathbb{N}^2$ with c.c. representations ([11], Propositions 5.6 and 5.7, and the discussion between them). It is this bijection that enables one to characterize all pairs of strongly commuting CP maps on $B(H)$ ([11], Proposition 5.8).

In the next section we will work with the spaces $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_1} \mathcal{M} \cdots \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} H$, where $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ are CP maps. These spaces are defined in a way analogous to the way that the spaces $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\Theta} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\Phi} H$ were defined in the beginning of this section. The following results are important for dealing with such spaces.

Lemma 4.2 *Assume that P_{n-1} and P_n commute strongly. Then there exists a unitary*

$$v : \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_1} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_2} \cdots \otimes_{P_{n-1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_1} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_2} \cdots \otimes_{P_n} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{n-1}} H$$

such that

1. *$v(I \otimes_{P_1} \cdots \otimes_{P_{n-1}} I \otimes_{P_n} h) = I \otimes_{P_1} \cdots \otimes_{P_n} I \otimes_{P_{n-1}} h$, for all $h \in H$,*
2. *For all $X \in \mathcal{M}$,*
$$v \circ (X \otimes I \cdots I \otimes I) = (X \otimes I \cdots I \otimes I) \circ v,$$
3. *For all $X \in \mathcal{M}'$,*
$$v \circ (I \otimes I \cdots I \otimes X) = (I \otimes I \cdots I \otimes X) \circ v.$$

Proof. Let $u : \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{n-1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{n-1}} H$ be the unitary that makes P_{n-1} and P_n commute strongly. Define

$$v = I_E \otimes u,$$

where E denotes the W^*-correspondence (over $\mathcal{M}$) $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_1} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_2} \cdots \otimes_{P_{n-3}} \mathcal{M}$ equipped with the inner product

$$\langle a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-3}, b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{n-3} \rangle = P_{n-3}\left(a_{n-3}^* \cdots P_1(a_1^* b_1) \cdots b_{n-3}\right).$$

The fact that v commutes with $\mathcal{M} \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes I$ and $I \otimes I \cdots I \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ and satisfies the three conditions listed above are clear from the definition and from the properties of u. The fact that u is surjective implies that v is, too. It is left to show that v is an isometry (and this will also show that it is well defined). Let $\sum a_i \otimes_{P_{n-2}} b_i \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_i \otimes_{P_n} h_i$ be an element of $E \otimes_{P_{n-2}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{n-1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} H$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v(\sum a_i \otimes_{P_{n-2}} b_i \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_i \otimes_{P_n} h_i)\|^2 = \\
&= \langle \sum a_i \otimes_{P_{n-2}} u(b_i \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_i \otimes_{P_n} h_i), \sum a_j \otimes_{P_{n-2}} u(b_j \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_j \otimes_{P_n} h_j) \rangle = \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle u(b_i \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_i \otimes_{P_n} h_i), P_{n-2}(\langle a_i, a_j \rangle)\, u(b_j \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_j \otimes_{P_n} h_j) \rangle = (*) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle u(b_i \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_i \otimes_{P_n} h_i), u\left(P_{n-2}(\langle a_i, a_j \rangle)\, b_j \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_j \otimes_{P_n} h_j\right) \rangle = (**) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle b_i \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_i \otimes_{P_n} h_i, P_{n-2}(\langle a_i, a_j \rangle) b_j \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_j \otimes_{P_n} h_j \rangle = \\
&= \| \sum a_i \otimes_{P_{n-2}} b_i \otimes_{P_{n-1}} c_i \otimes_{P_n} h_i \|^2
\end{aligned}$$

the equality marked by (*) follows from the fact that u interwines the actions of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{n-1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} H$ and $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{n-1}} H$, and the one marked by (**) is true because u is unitary. ■

Lemma 4.3 *Assume that P and Q are strongly commuting CP maps on $\mathcal{M}$. Then there exists an isomorphism $v = v_{P,Q}$ of $\mathcal{M}$-correspondences*

$$v : \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$$

such that

$$v(I \otimes_P I \otimes_Q I) = I \otimes_Q I \otimes_P I.$$

Remark 4.4 In the sequel, given strongly commuting CP maps P and Q, it will be convenient to refer to the $\mathcal{M}$-module isometry $v_{P,Q}$ as the *associated map.*

Proof. For any two CP maps Θ, Φ let $W_{\Theta,\Phi}$ be the Hibert space isomorphism

$$W_{\Theta,\Phi} : \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Theta \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Phi \mathcal{M} \otimes_I H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Theta \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Phi H$$

given by $W_{\Theta,\Phi}(a \otimes_\Theta b \otimes_\Phi c \otimes_I h) = a \otimes_\Theta b \otimes_\Phi ch$. By a straightforward computation $W^*_{\Theta,\Phi}$ is given by $W^*_{\Theta,\Phi}(a \otimes_\Theta b \otimes_\Phi h) = a \otimes_\Theta b \otimes_\Phi I \otimes_I h$, and by even shorter computations $W_{\Theta,\Phi} W^*_{\Theta,\Phi}$ and $W^*_{\Theta,\Phi} W_{\Theta,\Phi}$ are identity maps. For all $a, b, c, x \in \mathcal{M}$ and all $y \in \mathcal{M}'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\Theta,\Phi}(xa \otimes_\Theta b \otimes_\Phi c \otimes_I yh) &= xa \otimes_\Theta b \otimes_\Phi cyh \\ &= xa \otimes_\Theta b \otimes_\Phi ych \\ &= (x \otimes I \otimes y) W_{\Theta,\Phi}(a \otimes_\Theta b \otimes_\Phi c \otimes_I h). \end{aligned}$$

From this, it also follows that

$$W^*_{\Theta,\Phi}(x \otimes I \otimes y) = (x \otimes I \otimes I \otimes y) W^*_{\Theta,\Phi} \qquad (x \in \mathcal{M}, y \in \mathcal{M}').$$

We now define a map $T : \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_I H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_I H$ by

$$T = W^*_{Q,P} \circ u \circ W_{P,Q},$$

where u is the map that makes P and Q commute strongly. As a product of such maps, T is a unitary interwining the left actions of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$. The v that we are looking for is a map $v : \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$ that satisfies $T = v \otimes I_H$. We will find this v using a standard technique exploiting the self duality of $\mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$.

For any $x \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$ we define a map $L_x : H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_I H$ by

$$L_x(h) = x \otimes h \ , \ (h \in H).$$

The adjoint is given on simple tensors by $L^*_x(y \otimes h) = \langle x, y \rangle h$.

Now, if there is a v such that $T = v \otimes I_H$, then for all $z \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M}$ and $x \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$ we must have

$$\langle x, v(z) \rangle h = L^*_x(v(z) \otimes h) = L^*_x T(z \otimes h).$$

This leads us to define, fixing $z \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M}$, a mapping φ from $\mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$ into $\mathcal{M}$:

$$\varphi(x)h := L_x^* T(z \otimes h).$$

We now prove that $x \mapsto \varphi(x)^*$ is a bounded, $\mathcal{M}$-module mapping into $\mathcal{M}$.

into $\mathcal{M}$: For all $x \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$, $\varphi(x)$ is linear. $\|L_x^* T(z \otimes h)\| \leq \|L_x^*\| \|T\| \|z\| \|h\|$, so $\varphi(x) \in B(H)$. So $\varphi(x)^*$ exists and is also a bounded, linear operator on H. Now take $d \in \mathcal{M}'$. Then

$$\varphi(x)dh = L_x^* T(z \otimes dh) = L_x^* T(I \otimes d)(z \otimes h) = L_x^*(I \otimes d)T(z \otimes h) = d\varphi(x)h$$

(L_x^* interwines $\mathcal{M}'$ from its very definition) whence $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{M}'' = \mathcal{M}$. Thus, $\varphi(x)^* \in \mathcal{M}$.

$\mathcal{M}$-module mapping: This is because for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$ and all $a \in \mathcal{M}$ $L_{x+y} = L_x + L_y$ and $L_{ax} = aL_x$ (and also $L_{xa} = L_x a$).

Bounded mapping: From the inequalities $\|L_x^* T(z \otimes h)\| \leq \|L_x^*\| \|T\| \|z\| \|h\|$ and $\|L_x^*\| \leq \|x\|$ it follows that $\|\varphi(x)^*\| = \|\varphi(x)\| \leq \|z\| \|x\|$.

It now follows from the self-duality of $\mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$ that for all $z \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M}$ there exists a $v(z) \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\langle x, v(z) \rangle h = L_x^* T(z \otimes h) \tag{7}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}, h \in H$. It is easy to see from (7) that $v(z)$ is a right $\mathcal{M}$-module mapping. (7) can be re-written as

$$L_x^*(v(z) \otimes h) = L_x^* T(z \otimes h),$$

and, since this holds for all x, this means that $(v(z) \otimes h) = T(z \otimes h)$ (because $\cap_x \mathrm{Ker}(L_x^*) = (\vee_x \mathrm{Im}(L_x))^\perp = \{0\}$), or, in other words, $v \otimes I = T$. This last equality implies that v is unitary, and that it has all the properties required. For example, if $a, b, c, X \in \mathcal{M}$ and $h \in H$, then

$$\begin{aligned} v(Xa \otimes b \otimes c) \otimes h &= T(Xa \otimes b \otimes c \otimes h) \\ &= (X \otimes I \otimes I \otimes I)T(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes h) \\ &= (X \otimes I \otimes I \otimes I)(v(a \otimes b \otimes c) \otimes h) \\ &= \big((X \otimes I \otimes I)(v(a \otimes b \otimes c)\big) \otimes h. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $v_1 = v(Xa \otimes b \otimes c)$ and $v_2 = (X \otimes I \otimes I)(v(a \otimes b \otimes c)$ we have that for all $h \in H$

$$0 = \|v_1 \otimes h - v_2 \otimes h\|^2 = \|(v_1 - v_2) \otimes h\|^2 = \langle h, \langle v_1 - v_2, v_1 - v_2 \rangle h \rangle,$$

which implies that $\langle v_1 - v_2, v_1 - v_2 \rangle = 0$, or $v(Xa \otimes b \otimes c) = (X \otimes I \otimes I)(v(a \otimes b \otimes c)$.
■

Remark 4.5 The converse of Lemma 4.3 is also true: if there is an isometry of $\mathcal{M}$-correspondences $v : \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M}$ such that $v(I \otimes I \otimes I) = I \otimes I \otimes I$ then P and Q strongly commute. Indeed, to obtain

$u : \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P H$ with the desired properties, we simply reverse the construction above. That is, we define $T = v \otimes I$, and

$$u = W_{Q,P} \circ T \circ W^*_{P,Q}.$$

Lemma 4.6 *Assume that P_j and P_{j+1} commute strongly, for some $j \leq n-2$. Then there exists a unitary*

$$u : \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_1} \cdots \otimes_{P_j} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{j+1}} \cdots \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_1} \cdots \otimes_{P_{j+1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_j} \cdots \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} H$$

such that

1. $u(I \otimes_{P_1} \cdots I \otimes_{P_j} I \otimes_{P_{j+1}} I \cdots I \otimes_{P_n} h) = I \otimes_{P_1} \cdots I \otimes_{P_{j+1}} I \otimes_{P_j} I \cdots I \otimes_{P_n} h$,
2. *For all $X \in \mathcal{M}$,*
$$u \circ (X \otimes I \cdots I \otimes I) = (X \otimes I \cdots I \otimes I) \circ u,$$
3. *For all $X \in \mathcal{M}'$,*
$$u \circ (I \otimes I \cdots I \otimes X) = (I \otimes I \cdots I \otimes X) \circ u.$$

Proof. Let $v : \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_j} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{j+1}} \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{j+1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_j} \mathcal{M}$ be the unitary that is described in lemma 4.3. Introduce the notation

$$E = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_1} \cdots \otimes_{P_{j-2}} \mathcal{M}$$

(understood to be $\mathbb{C}$ if $j = 1$ and $\mathcal{M}$ if $j = 2$) and

$$F = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{j+3}} \cdots \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_n} H$$

(understood to be H if $j = n-2$). Define

$$u : E \otimes_{P_{j-1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_j} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{j+1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{j+2}} F \to E \otimes_{P_{j-1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{j+1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_j} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{P_{j+2}} F$$

by

$$u := I_E \otimes v \otimes I_F.$$

u is a well-defined, unitary mapping, possesing the properties asserted. ■

Putting together Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and 4.6, we obtain the following

Proposition 4.7 *Let $R_1, R_2, \ldots R_m$, and $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$ be CP maps such that for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, R_i commutes strongly with S_j. Then there exists a unitary*

$$v : \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_1} \cdots \otimes_{R_m} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{S_1} \cdots \otimes_{S_n} H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_{S_1} \cdots \otimes_{S_n} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_1} \cdots \otimes_{R_m} H$$

such that

1. $v(I \otimes_{R_1} I \cdots I \otimes_{S_n} h) = I \otimes_{S_1} I \cdots I \otimes_{R_m} h$, *for all $h \in H$,*

2. *For all $X \in \mathcal{M}$,*

$$v \circ (X \otimes I \cdots I \otimes I) = (X \otimes I \cdots I \otimes I) \circ v,$$

3. *For all $X \in \mathcal{M}'$,*

$$v \circ (I \otimes I \cdots I \otimes X) = (I \otimes I \cdots I \otimes X) \circ v.$$

The existence of v as above is clear: simply apply the isomorphisms from the previous lemmas one by one. One might think that applying these isomorphisms in different orders might lead to different v's. In the next subsection we will see, however, that the order of application does not influence the total outcome (cf. Proposition 4.9).

4.2 Strongly commuting CP-semigroups

Definition 4.8 *Two semigroups of CP maps $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are said to* commute strongly *if for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ the CP maps R_s and S_t commute strongly.*

In the appendix we have collected a few examples of strongly commuting CP-semigroups, and we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for strong commutativity in special cases. From this point on R and S will denote two strongly commuting CP-semigroups.

Proposition 4.9 *If the CP-semigroups $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ commute strongly, then, for all $(s,t),(s',t') \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$, the associated maps*

$$v_{R_s,S_t} : \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_s} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{S_t} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes_{S_t} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_s} \mathcal{M},$$

and

$$v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}} : \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_{s'}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{S_{t'}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes_{S_{t'}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_{s'}} \mathcal{M},$$

(see lemma 4.3) satisfy the following identity :

$$(I \otimes I \otimes v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}})(v_{R_s,S_t} \otimes I \otimes I) = (v_{R_s,S_t} \otimes I \otimes I)(I \otimes I \otimes v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}}). \qquad (8)$$

Proof. Let $a,b,c,d,e \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume that $v_{R_s,S_t}(a \otimes_{R_s} b \otimes_{S_t} c) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \otimes_{S_t} B_i \otimes_{R_s} C_i$, and that $v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}}(I \otimes_{R_{s'}} d \otimes_{S_{t'}} e) = \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_i \otimes_{S_{t'}} \delta_j \otimes_{R_{s'}} \epsilon_j$. Operating on $a \otimes_{R_s} b \otimes_{S_t} c \otimes_{R_{s'}} d \otimes_{S_{t'}} e$ with the operator on the LHS of equation (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(I \otimes I \otimes v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}})(v_{R_s,S_t} \otimes I \otimes I)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d \otimes e) = \\
&(I \otimes I \otimes v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}}) \sum_{i=1}^m A_i \otimes B_i \otimes C_i \otimes d \otimes e = (*) \\
&\sum_{i=1}^m A_i \otimes B_i \otimes C_i \cdot v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}}(I \otimes d \otimes e) = \\
&\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i \otimes C_i \gamma_j \otimes \delta_j \otimes \epsilon_j,
\end{aligned}$$

where the equality marked by (*) is justified because $v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}}$ is a left $\mathcal{M}$-module map. Operating on $a \otimes_{R_s} b \otimes_{S_t} c \otimes_{R_{s'}} d \otimes_{S_{t'}} e$ with the operator on the RHS of equation (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(v_{R_s,S_t} \otimes I \otimes I)(I \otimes I \otimes v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}})(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d \otimes e) = (*) \\
&(v_{R_s,S_t} \otimes I \otimes I)(a \otimes b \otimes c \cdot v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}}(I \otimes d \otimes e)) = \\
&\sum_{j=1}^{n} (v_{R_s,S_t} \otimes I \otimes I)(a \otimes b \otimes c\gamma_j \otimes \delta_j \otimes \epsilon_j) = \\
&\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{R_s,S_t}(a \otimes b \otimes c\gamma_j) \otimes \delta_j \otimes \epsilon_j = (**) \\
&\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{R_s,S_t}(a \otimes b \otimes c) \cdot \gamma_j \otimes \delta_j \otimes \epsilon_j = \\
&\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_i \otimes B_i \otimes C_i\gamma_j \otimes \delta_j \otimes \epsilon_j,
\end{aligned}$$

where the equality marked by (*) is justified because $v_{R_{s'},S_{t'}}$ is a left $\mathcal{M}$-module map, and the one marked by (**) is OK because v_{R_s,S_t} is a right $\mathcal{M}$-module map. So equation (8) holds for all $s, s', t, t' \geq$, and this proof is complete. ■

4.3 Representing a pair of strongly commuting CP$_0$-semigroups via the identity representation - the strongly commuting case

Recall the notation that we fixed in this chapter: $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra acting on H, $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are two strongly commuting CP-semigroups on $\mathcal{M}$, and $P_{(s,t)} := R_s S_t$. Let $\{E(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, $\{F(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ denote the product systems (of W^*-correspondences over $\mathcal{M}'$) associated with $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, respectively, and let T^E, T^F be the corresponding identity representations (as described in Subsection 3.2). For $s, t \geq 0$, we denote by $\theta^E_{s,t}$ and $\theta^F_{s,t}$ the isometries

$$\theta^E_{s,t} : E(s) \otimes_{\mathcal{M}'} E(t) \to E(s+t),$$

and

$$\theta^F_{s,t} : F(s) \otimes_{\mathcal{M}'} F(t) \to F(s+t).$$

Proposition 4.10 *For all $s, t \geq 0$ there is an isomorphism of W^*-correspondences*

$$\varphi_{s,t} : E(s) \otimes_{\mathcal{M}'} F(t) \to F(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{M}'} E(s). \tag{9}$$

The isomorphisms $\{\varphi_{s,t}\}_{s,t\geq 0}$, together with the identity represetations T^E, T^F, satisfy the "commutation" relation:

$$\tilde{T}^E_s(I_{E(s)} \otimes \tilde{T}^F_t) = \tilde{T}^F_t(I_{F(t)} \otimes \tilde{T}^E_s) \circ (\varphi_{s,t} \otimes I_H) \quad , t, s \geq 0. \tag{10}$$

Proof. We shall adopt the notation used in subsection 3.2 (with a few changes), and follow the proof of proposition 5.6 in [11]. Fix $s,t \geq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \{0 = s_0 < s_1 < \ldots < s_m = s\}$ be a partition of $[0,s]$. We define

$$H^R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_{s_1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_{s_2-s_1}} \cdots \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_{s_m - s_{m-1}}} H$$

and we define (for a partition $\mathfrak{q}$) $H^S_{\mathfrak{q}}$ in a similar manner. If $q = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = t\}$, we also define

$$H^{R,S}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_{s_1}} \cdots \otimes_{R_{s_m - s_{m-1}}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{S_1} \cdots \otimes_{S_{t_n - t_{n-1}}} H.$$

$H^{S,R}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}}$ is defined similarly. We can go on to define $H^{S,R,S}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}'}$, $H^{S,R,S,R}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}',\mathfrak{p}'}$, etc.

Recall that $E(s)$ is the direct limit of the directed system $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}}), v_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}'})$. Similarily, we shall write $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^S_{\mathfrak{q}}), u_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}'})$ for the directed system that has $F(t)$ as its limit. We write $v_{\mathfrak{p},\infty}$, $u_{\mathfrak{q},\infty}$ for the limit isometric embeddings.

We proceed to construct an isomorphism

$$\varphi_{s,t} : E(s) \otimes F(t) \to F(t) \otimes E(s)$$

that has the desired property. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \{0 = s_0 < s_1 < \ldots < s_m = s\}$ and $\mathfrak{q} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = t\}$ be partitions of $[0,s]$ and $[0,t]$, respectively. Denote by $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ The map from $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^S_{\mathfrak{q}})$ into $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^{S,R}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}})$ given by $X \otimes Y \mapsto (I \otimes I \cdots I \otimes X)Y$. As explained in lemma 3.2 of [6], $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ is an isomorphism. We define $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}}$ to be the corresponding map from $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^S_{\mathfrak{q}}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ into $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^{R,S}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}})$. Let $u : H^{S,R}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}} \to H^{R,S}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ be the isomorphism from corollary 4.7, and define $\Psi : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^{S,R}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}}) \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^{R,S}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}})$ by $\Psi(Z) = u \circ Z$. The argument from proposition 5.6 from [11] can be repeated here to show that Ψ is an isomorphism of W^*-correspondences. Define $t_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^S_{\mathfrak{q}}) \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^S_{\mathfrak{q}}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by

$$t_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} = \Gamma^{-1}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}} \circ \Psi \circ \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}.$$

Define maps $W_1 : H \to H^R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $W_2 : H \to H^S_{\mathfrak{q}}$ by $W_1 h = I \otimes_{R_1} \cdots I \otimes_{R_{s_m - s_{m-1}}} h$ and $W_2 h = I \otimes_{S_1} \cdots I \otimes_{S_{t_n - t_{n-1}}} h$. Also, let $U_1 : H^R_{\mathfrak{p}} \to H^{S,R}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}}$ and $U_2 : H^S_{\mathfrak{q}} \to H^{R,S}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ be the maps $U_1 \xi = I \otimes_{S_1} I \cdots I \otimes_{S_{t_n - t_{n-1}}} \xi$ and $U_2 \eta = I \otimes_{R_1} I \cdots I \otimes_{R_{s_m - s_{m-1}}} \eta$. Just as in [11], we have that

$$W_1^* U_1^* = W_2^* U_2^* u, \tag{11}$$

and that, for $X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, we have $U_1^*(I \otimes \cdots I \otimes X) = X W_2^*$. Now, for $X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $Y \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^S_{\mathfrak{q}})$,

$$U_1^* \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}(X \otimes Y) = U_1^*(I \otimes I \cdots I \otimes X)Y = X W_2^* Y. \tag{12}$$

If we define $(T^R_{\mathfrak{p}}, id)$ [1] to be the identity representation of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, and $(T^S_{\mathfrak{q}}, id)$ to be the identity representation of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^S_{\mathfrak{q}})$, (see the closing paragraph in subsection 3.2), then (12) implies that, for $h \in H$,

---

[1] Watch out - we have here a little problem with notation - this resembles T^E_t, T^F_t that we defined above.

$$W_1^* U_1^* (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}(X \otimes Y))h = T_{\mathfrak{p}}^R(X) T_{\mathfrak{q}}^S(Y)h = \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R(I \otimes \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}^S)(X \otimes Y \otimes h). \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, using (11) and an analog of (13),

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^* U_1^* (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}(X \otimes Y))h &= W_1^* U_1^* (\Psi^{-1} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}} \circ t_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}(X \otimes Y))h \\ &= W_1^* U_1^* u^* (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}} \circ t_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}(X \otimes Y))h \\ &= W_2^* U_2^* (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}} \circ t_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}(X \otimes Y))h \\ &= \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}^S(I \otimes \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R)(t_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}(X \otimes Y) \otimes h). \end{aligned}$$

Let us summarize what we have accumulated up to this point. For fixed $s, t \geq 0$, and any two partitions $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{q}$ of $[0,s]$ and $[0,t]$, respectively, we have a Hilbert space isomorphism

$$t_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p}}^R) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{q}}^S) \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{q}}^S) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p}}^R)$$

satisfying

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R(I \otimes \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}^S) = \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}^S(I \otimes \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R)(t_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} \otimes I_H). \tag{14}$$

These maps induce an isomorphism $t_{\mathfrak{p},\infty} : \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p}}^R) \otimes F(t) \to F(t) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{p}}^R)$ that satisfies

$$t_{\mathfrak{p},\infty}(I \otimes u_{\mathfrak{q},\infty}) = (u_{\mathfrak{q},\infty} \otimes I) t_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}. \tag{15}$$

Plugging (15) in (14) we obtain

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R(I \otimes \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}^S) = \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}^S(u_{\mathfrak{q},\infty}^* \otimes \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R)(t_{\mathfrak{p},\infty} \otimes I_H)(I \otimes u_{\mathfrak{q},\infty} \otimes I_H).$$

The discussion before theorem 3.9 in [6] imply that $\tilde{T}_t^F(u_{\mathfrak{q},\infty} \otimes I) = \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}^S$, or, letting $p_{\mathfrak{q}}$ denote the projection in $F(t)$ onto $u_{\mathfrak{q},\infty}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H_{\mathfrak{q}}^S))$,

$$\tilde{T}_t^F(p_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes I) = \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}^S(u_{\mathfrak{q},\infty}^* \otimes I_H).$$

The last two equations sum up to

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R(I \otimes \tilde{T}_t^F)(I \otimes p_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes I_H) = \tilde{T}_t^F(p_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R)(t_{\mathfrak{p},\infty} \otimes I_H)(I \otimes p_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes I_H),$$

which implies, in the limit,

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R(I \otimes \tilde{T}_t^F) = \tilde{T}_t^F(I_{F(t)} \otimes \tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}^R)(t_{\mathfrak{p},\infty} \otimes I_H).$$

Repeating this "limiting process" in the argument $\mathfrak{p}$, we obtain a map $t_{\infty,\infty} : E(s) \otimes F(t) \to F(t) \otimes E(s)$, which we re-label as $\varphi_{s,t}$, that satisfies (10). The above procedure can be done for all $s, t \geq 0$, giving isomorphisms $\{\varphi_{s,t}\}$ satisfying the commutation relation (10). ■

Our aim now is to construct a product system X over $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ and a c.c. representation T of X that will lead to a representation of $\{P_{(s,t)}\}_{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2}$ as in equation (6). Proposition 4.10 is a key ingredient in the proof that the representation that we define below gives rise to such a representation. But before going into that we need to carefully construct the product system X.

We define

$$X(s,t) := E(s) \otimes F(t),$$

and

$$\theta_{(s,t),(s',t')} : X(s,t) \otimes X(s',t') \to X(s+s',t+t'),$$

by

$$\theta_{(s,t),(s',t')} = (\theta^E_{s,s'} \otimes \theta^F_{t,t'}) \circ (I \otimes \varphi^{-1}_{s',t} \otimes I).$$

To show that $\{X(s,t)\}_{t,s\geq 0}$ is a product system, we shall need to show that "the θ's make the tensor product into an associative multiplication", or simply:

$$\theta_{(s,t),(s'+s'',t'+t'')} \circ (I \otimes \theta_{(s',t'),(s'',t'')}) = \theta_{(s+s',t+t'),(s'',t'')} \circ (\theta_{(s,t),(s',t')} \otimes I), \quad (16)$$

for $s, s', s'', t, t', t'' \geq 0$.

Proposition 4.11 $X = \{X(s,t)\}_{t,s\geq 0}$ *is a product system. That is, equation (16) holds.*

Proof. The proof is nothing but a straightforward and tedious computation, using Proposition 4.9.

Let $s, s', s'', t, t', t'' \geq 0$, and let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{p}'', \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}', \mathfrak{q}''$ be partitions of the corresponding intervals. It is enough to show that the maps on both sides of equation (16) give the same result when applied to an element of the form

$$\zeta = X \otimes Y \otimes X' \otimes Y' \otimes X'' \otimes Y'',$$

where $X \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, $Y \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^S_{\mathfrak{q}})$, etc. Let us operate first on ζ with the RHS of (16).

Now,

$$\theta_{(s,t),(s',t')}(X \otimes Y \otimes X' \otimes Y') = \left(\theta^E_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}'} \otimes \theta^F_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}'}\right) \left(X \otimes t^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}',\mathfrak{q}}(Y \otimes X') \otimes Y'\right),$$

where $\theta^E_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}'}$ is the restriction of $\theta^E_{s,s'}$ to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(H, H^R_{\mathfrak{p}'})$, $\theta^F_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}'}$ is defined similarly, and $t_{\mathfrak{p}',\mathfrak{q}}$ is the map defined in Proposition 4.10. Looking at the definition of $t_{\mathfrak{p}',\mathfrak{q}}$, we see that $t^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}',\mathfrak{q}}(Y \otimes X') = \Gamma^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}',\mathfrak{q}}(U_{\mathfrak{p}'\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}} \circ (I \otimes Y)X')$. Here $U_{\mathfrak{p}'\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}}$ denotes the unitary $H^{R,S}_{\mathfrak{p}',\mathfrak{q}} \to H^{S,R}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{p}'}$ given by Proposition 4.7. Assume that

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}'\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}} \circ (I \otimes Y)X' = \sum_i (I \otimes x_i) y_i.$$

Then

$$\Gamma^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}',\mathfrak{q}}(U_{\mathfrak{p}'\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}} \circ (I \otimes Y)X') = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i,$$

therefore,

$$\theta_{(s,t),(s',t')}(X \otimes Y \otimes X' \otimes Y') = \sum_i (I \otimes X)x_i \otimes (I \otimes y_i)Y'.$$

So,

$$\theta_{(s+s',t+t'),(s'',t'')} \circ (\theta_{(s,t),(s',t')} \otimes I)\zeta =$$
$$\sum_i \left(\theta^E_{\mathfrak{p}'\vee\mathfrak{p}+s',\mathfrak{p}''} \otimes \theta^F_{\mathfrak{q}'\vee\mathfrak{q}+t',\mathfrak{q}''}\right) \Big[(I\otimes X)x_i \otimes \Gamma^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}'',\mathfrak{q}'\vee q+t'} \left(U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}'\vee q+t'} \circ (I\otimes (I\otimes y_i)Y')X''\right) \otimes Y''\Big].$$

Repeated application of Proposition 4.9 shows that, and this is a crucial point, $U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}'\vee q+t'} = (I\otimes U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}})(U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}'}\otimes I)$. Thus

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}'\vee q+t'} \circ (I\otimes(I\otimes y_i)Y')X'' = (I\otimes U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}})(I\otimes I\otimes y_i)\left(U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}'}(I\otimes Y')X''\right).$$

Write $U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}'} \circ (I\otimes Y')X''$ as $\sum_j (I\otimes a_j)b_j$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}'\vee q+t'} \circ (I\otimes(I\otimes y_i)Y')X'' &= \sum_j (I\otimes U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}})(I\otimes I\otimes y_i)(I\otimes a_j)b_j \\ &= \sum_j \left(I\otimes \left[U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}}\circ (I\otimes y_i)a_j\right]\right) b_j. \end{aligned}$$

We now write $U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}} \circ (I\otimes y_i)a_j$ as $\sum_k (I\otimes A_{i,j,k})B_{i,j,k}$. With this notation, we get

$$\theta_{(s+s',t+t'),(s'',t'')} \circ (\theta_{(s,t),(s',t')} \otimes I)\zeta =$$
$$\sum_{i,j,k} \left((I\otimes I\otimes X)(I\otimes x_i)A_{i,j,k}\right) \otimes \left((I\otimes I\otimes B_{i,j,k})(I\otimes b_j)Y''\right).$$

Now let us operate first on ζ with the LHS of (16), repeating all the steps that we have made above:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{(s',t'),(s'',t'')}(X'\otimes Y'\otimes X''\otimes Y'') &= \left(\theta^E_{\mathfrak{p}',\mathfrak{p}''}\otimes\theta^F_{\mathfrak{q}',\mathfrak{q}''}\right)\Big(X'\otimes t^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}'',\mathfrak{q}'}(Y'\otimes X'')\otimes Y''\Big) \\ &= \sum_j (I\otimes X')a_j \otimes (I\otimes b_j)Y'', \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\theta_{(s,t),(s'+s'',t'+t'')} \circ (I\otimes\theta_{(s',t'),(s'',t'')})\zeta =$$
$$\sum_j \left(\theta^E_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}''\vee\mathfrak{p}'+s''}\otimes\theta^F_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}''\vee\mathfrak{q}'+t''}\right)\Big[X\otimes\Gamma^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p}''\vee\mathfrak{p}'+s'',\mathfrak{q}}\left(U_{\mathfrak{p}''\vee\mathfrak{p}'+s''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}}\circ(I\otimes(I\otimes Y)X')a_j\right)\otimes(I\otimes b_j)Y''\Big].$$

As above, we factor $U_{\mathfrak{p}''\vee\mathfrak{p}'+s''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}}$ as $(U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}}\otimes I)(I\otimes U_{\mathfrak{p}'\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}})$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathfrak{p}''\vee\mathfrak{p}'+s''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}}\circ(I\otimes(I\otimes Y)X')a_j &= \sum_i (U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}}\otimes I)\circ(I\otimes(I\otimes x_i)y_i)a_j \\ &= \sum_i (I\otimes I\otimes x_i)(U_{\mathfrak{p}''\leftrightarrow\mathfrak{q}}\otimes I)\circ(I\otimes y_i)a_j \\ &= \sum_{i,k}(I\otimes I\otimes x_i)(I\otimes A_{i,j,k})B_{i,j,k}. \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta_{(s,t),(s'+s'',t'+t'')} \circ (I \otimes \theta_{(s',t'),(s'',t'')})\zeta = \\ &\sum_{i,j,k} ((I \otimes I \otimes X)(I \otimes x_i)A_{i,j,k}) \otimes ((I \otimes I \otimes B_{i,j,k})(I \otimes b_j)Y'') \,,\end{aligned}$$

and this is exactly the same expression as we obtained for $\theta_{(s+s',t+t'),(s'',t'')}(\theta_{(s,t),(s',t')} \otimes I)\zeta$. ■

Theorem 4.12 *There exists a two parameter product system of $\mathcal{M}'$-correspondences X, and a completely contractive, covariant representation T of X into $B(H)$, such that for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ and all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, the following identity holds:*

$$\tilde{T}_{(s,t)}(I_{X(s,t)} \otimes a)\tilde{T}^*_{(s,t)} = P_{(s,t)}(a). \tag{17}$$

Proof. As above, define

$$X(s,t) := E(s) \otimes F(t).$$

By Proposition 4.11, X is a product system. For $s,t \geq 0$, $\xi \in E(s)$ and $\eta \in F(t)$, we define a representation T of X by

$$T_{(s,t)}(\xi \otimes \eta) := T^E_s(\xi)T^F_t(\eta).$$

It is clear that for fixed $s,t \geq 0$, $T_{(s,t)}$, together with $\sigma = \mathbf{id}_{\mathcal{M}'}$, extends to a covariant representation of $X(s,t)$ on H. In addition,

$$\tilde{T}_{(s,t)} = \tilde{T}^E_s(I_{E(s)} \otimes \tilde{T}^F_t), \tag{18}$$

so $\|\tilde{T}_{(s,t)}\| \leq 1$. By lemma 3.5 in [5], $T_{(s,t)}$ is completely contractive. Also, if P is unital, so are R and S, thus T^E and T^F are fully coisometric, whence T is fully coisometric. We turn to show that for $x_1 \in X(s_1,t_1), x_2 \in X(s_2,t_2)$, $T_{(s_1+s_2,t_1+t_2)}(x_1 \otimes x_2) = T_{(s_1,t_1)}(x_1)T_{(s_2,t_2)}(x_2)$.

Let $\xi_i \in E(s_i), \eta_i \in F(t_i),\ i = 1,2$. Put $\Phi = I_{E(s_1)} \otimes \varphi_{s_2,t_1} \otimes I_{F(t_2)}$. Treating the maps $\theta^E_{s_1,s_2}, \theta^F_{t_1,t_2}$ as identity maps, we have that $\Phi : X(s_1+s_2,t_1+t_2) \rightarrow X(s_1,t_1) \otimes X(s_2,t_2)$. We need to show that

$$T_{(s_1+s_2,t_1+t_2)}\left(\Phi^{-1}(\xi_1 \otimes \eta_1 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes \eta_2)\right) = T_{(s_1,t_1)}(\xi_1 \otimes \eta_1)T_{(s_2,t_2)}(\xi_2 \otimes \eta_2).$$

But for this it suffices to show that [2]

$$T_{(s,t)}\left(\varphi^{-1}_{s,t}(\eta \otimes \xi)\right) = T_{(0,t)}(\eta)T_{(s,0)}(\xi) \quad , \quad \xi \in E(s), \eta \in F(t).$$

Let $h \in H$. Now, on the one hand, recalling (10), we have

$$\tilde{T}_{(s,0)}(I_{E(s)} \otimes \tilde{T}_{(0,t)})(\varphi^{-1}_{s,t}(\eta \otimes \xi) \otimes h) = \tilde{T}_{(0,t)}(I_{F(t)} \otimes \tilde{T}_{(s,0)})(\eta \otimes \xi \otimes h) = T_{(0,t)}(\xi)T_{(s,0)}(\eta)h.$$

---

[2] when writing this down, the problems arise only in the "middle".

On the other hand, writing $\sum \xi_i \otimes \eta_i$ for $\varphi_{s,t}^{-1}(\eta \otimes \xi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{(s,0)}(I_{E(s)} \otimes \tilde{T}_{(0,t)})(\varphi_{s,t}^{-1}(\eta \otimes \xi) \otimes h) &= \sum \tilde{T}_{(s,0)}(\xi_i \otimes T_{(0,t)}(\eta_i)h) \\
&= \sum T_{(s,0)}(\xi_i) T_{(0,t)}(\eta_i)h \\
&= T_{(s,t)}(\sum \xi_i \otimes \eta_i)h \\
&= T_{(s,t)}(\varphi_{s,t}^{-1}(\eta \otimes \xi))h
\end{aligned}$$

so we conclude that $T_{(0,t)}(\xi)T_{(s,0)}(\eta) = T_{(s,t)}(\varphi_{s,t}^{-1}(\eta \otimes \xi))$, as required.

Finally, using theorem 3.9 in [6], we easily compute for $a \in \mathcal{M}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{(s,t)}(I_{X(s,t)} \otimes a)\tilde{T}_{(s,t)}^* &= \tilde{T}_{(s,0)}(I_{E(s)} \otimes \tilde{T}_{(0,t)})(I_{E(s)} \otimes I_{F(t)} \otimes a)(I_{E(s)} \otimes \tilde{T}_{(0,t)}^*)\tilde{T}_{(s,0)}^* \\
&= \tilde{T}_{(s,0)}(I_{E(s)} \otimes S_t(a))\tilde{T}_{(s,0)}^* \\
&= R_s(S_t(a)) = P_{(s,t)}(a).
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. ■

5 Isometric dilation of a fully coisometric product system representation

In the previous section, given a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$ and two strongly commuting CP$_0$-semigroups on $\mathcal{M}$, we constructed a product system X of $\mathcal{M}'$-correspondences over $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ and a product system representation (σ, T) of X on H such that for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and all $a \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\tilde{T}_{(s,t)}(I_{X(s,t)} \otimes a)\tilde{T}_{(s,t)}^* = P_{(s,t)}(a).$$

In other words, we have completed the first step in our program for dilation. In this section we shall carry out the second step: we shall construct a fully coisometric, isometric dilation (ρ, V) of (σ, T) on some Hilbert space $K \supseteq H$. In the next section we will show that the family of maps given by

$$\alpha_{(s,t)}(b) := \tilde{V}_{(s,t)}(I_{X(s,t)} \otimes b)\tilde{V}_{(s,t)}^*$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{R} := \rho(\mathcal{M}')'$ is the E$_0$-dilation that we are looking for.

In fact, we are going to prove a little more than we need: we shall prove that every fully coisometric representation of a product system over a (certain kind of) subsemigroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^k$ has an isometric dilation (see subsection 5.2). This result will be proved by "representing the representation as a contractive semigroup on a Hilbert space" (see subsection 5.1), a method that we introduced in [9]. Since in this paper we shall be ultimately interested in applying this result for the product system and representation given in Theorem 4.12, we will not make the construction or statement in the most general possible way, in hope of making the presentation as smooth as possible. For example, one does not have to assume that neither the product system nor the representation is unital, but we shall make these assumptions, as they hold for the output of Theorem 4.12. Also, the reader will note that our construction makes sense for more general semigroups than those we shall consider.

5.1 Representing product system representations as contractive semigroups on a Hilbert space

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a subsemigroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^k$ (k can be taken to be some infinite cardinal number, but we shall assume $k \in \mathbb{N}$ to keep things simple). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*-algebra, and let X be a discrete product system of unital C^*-correspondences over $\mathcal{S}$ [3]. Let (σ, T) be a completely contractive covariant representation of X on the Hilbert space H, and assume that σ is unital. Our unital assumptions imply that $\mathcal{A} \otimes H = X(0) \otimes H \cong H$ via the identification $a \otimes h \leftrightarrow \sigma(a)h$. This identification will be made repeatedly below.

Define $\mathcal{H}_0$ to be the space of all finitely supported functions f on $\mathcal{S}$ such that for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $f(s) \in X(s) \otimes_\sigma H$. We equip $\mathcal{H}_0$ with the inner product

$$\langle \delta_s \cdot \xi, \delta_t \cdot \eta \rangle = \delta_{s,t} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle,$$

for all $s, t \in \mathcal{S}, \xi \in X(s) \otimes H, \eta \in X(t) \otimes H$ (where the δ's on the left hand side are Dirac deltas, the δ on the right hand side is Kronecker's delta). Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{H}_0$ with respect to this inner product. Note that

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \oplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} X(s) \otimes H,$$

but defining it as we did has a small notational advantage. We define a family $\hat{T} = \{\hat{T}_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ of operators on $\mathcal{H}_0$ as follows. First, we define $\hat{T}_0$ to be the identity. Now assume that $s > 0$. If $t \in \mathcal{S}$ and $t \ngeq s$, then we define $\hat{T}_s(\delta_t \cdot \xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in X(t) \otimes_\sigma H$. And we define

$$\hat{T}_s \left(\delta_t \cdot (x_{t-s} \otimes x_s \otimes h) \right) = \delta_{t-s} \cdot \Big(x_{t-s} \otimes \tilde{T}_s (x_s \otimes h) \Big) \tag{19}$$

if $t \geq s > 0$. In [9] we showed that $\hat{T} = \{\hat{T}_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ extends to a well defined semigroup of contractions on $\mathcal{H}$.

Note that the adjoint of $\hat{T}$ is given by

$$\hat{T}_s \big(\delta_t \cdot x_t \otimes h \big) = \delta_{t+s} \cdot x_t \otimes \tilde{T}_s^* h,$$

thus, if T is a fully coisometric representation, then $\hat{T}$ is a semigroup of coisometries.

We summarize the construction in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$, X, $\mathcal{S}$ and (σ, T) be as above, and let*

$$\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} X(s) \otimes_\sigma H.$$

---

[3] An $\mathcal{A}$-correspondence is said to be *unital* if the left action of $\mathcal{A}$ is unital. Note that if $\mathcal{A}$ is unital, then the right action of $\mathcal{A}$ on every $\mathcal{A}$-correspondence is unital.

There exists a contractive semigroup $\hat{T} = \{\hat{T}_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ *on* $\mathcal{H}$ *such that for all* $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $x \in X(s)$ *and* $h \in H$,

$$\hat{T}_s\left(\delta_s \cdot x \otimes h\right) = T_s(x)h.$$

If T *is a fully coisometric representation, then* $\hat{T}$ *is a semigroup of coisometries.*

5.2 Isometric dilation of a fully coisometric representation

For any $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we denote $r_+ := (\max\{r_1, 0\}, \ldots, \max\{r_k, 0\})$ and $r_- := r_+ - r$. Throughout this section, $\mathcal{S}$ will be a subsemigroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^k$ such that for all $s \in \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}$, both s_+ and s_- are in $\mathcal{S}$. The semigroup that we are most interested in, namely $\mathbb{R}_+^k$, satisfies this condition. For possible applications discussed in Section **??** we may need the following theorem for $\mathbb{N}^k$, which also satisfies this condition.

Theorem 5.2 *Let* $\mathcal{S}$ *be as above, let* $X = \{X(s)\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ *be a product system of unital* $\mathcal{A}$*-correspondences over* $\mathcal{S}$*, and let* (σ, T) *be a fully coisometric representation of* X *on* H*, with* σ *unital. Then there exists a Hilbert space* $K \supseteq H$ *and a minimal, fully coisometric and isometric representation* (ρ, V) *of* X *on* K*, with* ρ *unital, such that*

1. P_H *commutes with* $\rho(\mathcal{A})$*, and* $\rho(a)P_H = \sigma(a)P_H$*, for all* $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
2. $P_H V_s(x)\big|_H = T_s(x)$ *for all* $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $x \in X(s)$.
3. $P_H V_s(x)\big|_{K\ominus H} = 0$ *for all* $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $x \in X(s)$.

If σ *is nondegenerate and* X *is essential (that is,* $\mathcal{A}X(s)$ *is dense in* $X(s)$ *for all* $s \in \mathcal{S}$*) then* ρ *is also nondegenerate. If* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a* W^**-algebra,* X *is a product system of* W^**-correspondences and* (σ, T) *is a representation of* W^**-correspondences, then* (ρ, V) *is also a representation of* W^**-correspondences.*

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [9], so we will not go into all the details whenever they were taken care of in that paper. However, we note that there are some essential differences between the situation at hand and the one treated in [9].

Let $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{s\in\mathcal{S}} X(s) \otimes_\sigma H$, and let $\hat{T}$ be the semigroup of coisometries constructed in the discussion preceding Proposition 5.1.

Since $\hat{T}$ is a semigroup of coisometries, there exists a minimal, *regular* unitary dilation $W = \{W_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ of the semigroup $\{\hat{T}_s^*\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ (this should be well known folklore, see [10] for details). We denote $\hat{V}_s = W_s^*$. We have for all $s \in \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}$

$$P_{\mathcal{H}}\hat{V}_{s_+}\hat{V}_{s_-}^* P_{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{T}_{s_+}\hat{T}_{s_-}^*, \tag{20}$$

Since the semigroup $\hat{V}$ consists of commuting unitaries, and since commuting unitaries doubly commute, we also have

$$P_{\mathcal{H}}\hat{V}_{s_-}^*\hat{V}_{s_+} P_{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{T}_{s_+}\hat{T}_{s_-}^*. \tag{21}$$

This triviality turns out to be crucial: it will allow us to compute the inner products in $\mathcal{K}$.

Introduce the Hilbert space K,

$$K = \bigvee \{\hat{V}_s(\delta_s \cdot (x \otimes h)) : s \in \mathcal{S}, x \in X(s), h \in H\}.$$

We consider H as embedded in K (or in $\mathcal{H}$ or in $\mathcal{K}$) by the identification

$$h \leftrightarrow \delta_0 \cdot (1 \otimes h).$$

(This is where we use the fact that σ is unital). We turn to the definition of the representation V of X on K. First, note that $\sigma(a)h$ is identified with $\delta_0 \cdot 1 \otimes_\sigma \sigma(a)h = \delta_0 \cdot a \otimes_\sigma h$. Next, we define a left action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$a \cdot (\delta_s \cdot x \otimes h) = \delta_s \cdot ax \otimes h,$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, s \in \mathcal{S}, x \in X(s)$ and $h \in H$. As we have explained in [10], this gives rise to a well defined a $*$-representation that commutes with $\hat{T}$:

$$a\hat{T}_s(\delta_t x_{t-s} \otimes x_s \otimes h) = \delta_{t-s} a x_{t-s} \otimes T_s(x_s)h = \hat{T}_s(\delta_t a x_{t-s} \otimes x_s \otimes h).$$

Taking adjoints shows that this left action commutes $\hat{T}_s^*$, $(s \in \mathcal{S})$, as well.

We shall now define a representation (ρ, V) of X on K. First, we define ρ by the rule

$$\rho(a)\hat{V}_s(\delta_s \cdot x_s \otimes h) = \hat{V}_s(\delta_s \cdot a x_s \otimes h). \tag{22}$$

Using (21), one shows that $\rho(a)$ extends to a bounded map on K. It then follows by direct computation that ρ is a $*$-representation. Whe (σ, T) is a representation of W^*-correspondences, we also have to show that ρ is a *normal* representation. Let $\{a_\gamma\} \subseteq \mathrm{ball}_1(\mathcal{A})$ be a net converging in the weak operator topology to $a \in \mathrm{ball}_1(\mathcal{A})$. It is known (for an outline of a proof, see [7]) that the mapping taking $b \in \mathcal{A}$ to $b \otimes I_H \in B(X(s) \otimes_\sigma H)$ is continuous in the (σ-)weak topologies. Thus, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}, x \in X(s)$ and $h \in H$,

$$a_\gamma x \otimes h \longrightarrow ax \otimes h$$

in the weak topology of $X(s) \otimes_\sigma H$. It follows that

$$\delta_s \cdot a_\gamma x \otimes h \longrightarrow \delta_s \cdot ax \otimes h$$

in the weak topology of K, so

$$\hat{V}_s \delta_s \cdot a_\gamma x \otimes h \longrightarrow \hat{V}_s \delta_s \cdot ax \otimes h$$

weakly. This implies that $\rho(a_\gamma) \to \rho(a)$ in the weak operator topology of $B(K)$, so ρ is normal.

Note that H reduces $\rho(A)$, and that $\rho(a)\big|_H = \sigma(a)\big|_H$ (under the appropriate identifications). Indeed, putting $t = 0$ in equation (22) gives

$$\rho(a)(\delta_0 \cdot 1 \otimes h) = \delta_0 \cdot a \otimes h = \delta_0 \cdot 1 \otimes \sigma(a)h.$$

The assertions regarding the unitality and nondegenracy of ρ are clear from the definitions.

We have completed the construction of ρ, and we proceed to define the representation V of X on K. For $s > 0$, we define V_s by the rule

$$V_s(x_s)\hat{V}_t(\delta_t \cdot x_t \otimes h) = \hat{V}_{s+t}(\delta_{s+t} \cdot x_s \otimes x_t \otimes h). \tag{23}$$

One has to use (21) to show that $V_s(x_s)$ can be extended to a well defined operator on K, but once that is done, it is easy to see that for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, (ρ, V_s) is a covariant representation of $X(s)$ on K. We now show that it is isometric. This computation is included so the reader has an opportunity to appreciate the role played by equation (21). Let $s, t, u \in \mathcal{S}$, $x, y \in X(s)$, $x_t \in X(t)$, $x_u \in X(u)$ and $h, g \in H$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle V_s(x)^* V_s(y)\hat{V}_t\delta_t \cdot x_t \otimes h, \hat{V}_u\delta_u \cdot x_u \otimes g\rangle &= \langle \hat{V}_{t+s}\delta_{t+s} \cdot y \otimes x_t \otimes h, \hat{V}_{u+s}\delta_{u+s} \cdot x \otimes x_u \otimes g\rangle \\
&= \langle \hat{V}^*_{(t-u)_-}\hat{V}_{(t-u)_+}\delta_{t+s} \cdot y \otimes x_t \otimes h, \delta_{u+s} \cdot x \otimes x_u \otimes g\rangle \\
(*) &= \langle \hat{T}_{(t-u)_+}\hat{T}^*_{(t-u)_-}\delta_{t+s} \cdot y \otimes x_t \otimes h, \delta_{u+s} \cdot x \otimes x_u \otimes g\rangle \\
&= \langle \delta_{u+s} \cdot y \otimes \left(I \otimes \tilde{T}_{(t-u)_+}\right)\left(I \otimes \tilde{T}^*_{(t-u)_-}\right)\ldots \\
&\quad \ldots (x_t \otimes h), \delta_{u+s} \cdot x \otimes x_u \otimes g\rangle \\
&= \langle \delta_u \cdot \left(I \otimes \tilde{T}_{(t-u)_+}\right)\left(I \otimes \tilde{T}^*_{(t-u)_-}\right)\ldots \\
&\quad \ldots (x_t \otimes h), \delta_u \cdot \langle y, x\rangle x_u \otimes g\rangle \\
&= \langle \hat{T}_{(t-u)_+}\hat{T}^*_{(t-u)_-}\delta_t \cdot x_t \otimes h, \delta_u \cdot \langle y, x\rangle x_u \otimes g\rangle \\
&= \langle \hat{T}_{(t-u)_+}\hat{T}^*_{(t-u)_-}\delta_t \cdot \langle x, y\rangle x_t \otimes h, \delta_u \cdot \langle y, x\rangle x_u \otimes g\rangle \\
(*) &= \langle \hat{V}^*_{(t-u)_-}\hat{V}_{(t-u)_+}\delta_t \cdot \langle x, y\rangle x_t \otimes h, \delta_u \cdot x_u \otimes g\rangle \\
&= \langle \hat{V}_t\delta_t \cdot \langle x, y\rangle x_t \otimes h, \hat{V}_u\delta_u \cdot x_u \otimes g\rangle \\
&= \langle \rho(\langle x, y\rangle)\hat{V}_t\delta_t \cdot x_t \otimes h, \hat{V}_u\delta_u \cdot x_u \otimes g\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

(The equations marked by (*) are where we use (21).) This shows that $V_s(x)^*V_s(y) = \rho(\langle x, y\rangle)$, so (ρ, V) is indeed an isometric representation. To see that it is fully coisometric, is is enough to show that for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $\tilde{V}_s$ is onto. It is clear that

$$\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{V}_s) = \bigvee\{\hat{V}_{t+s}(\delta_{t+s} \cdot x_s \otimes x_t \otimes h) : t \in \mathcal{S}, x_s \in X(s), x_t \in X(t), h \in H\}.$$

But if $t \in \mathcal{S}$, $x_t \in X(t)$ and $h \in H$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{V}_t(\delta_t \cdot x_t \otimes h) &= \hat{V}_t\hat{V}_s\hat{V}^*_s(\delta_t \cdot x_t \otimes h) \\
(*) &= \hat{V}_t\hat{V}_s\hat{T}^*_s(\delta_t \cdot x_t \otimes h) \\
&= \hat{V}_{t+s}(\delta_{t+s} \cdot x_t \otimes \tilde{T}^*_s h) \in \mathrm{Im}(\tilde{T}_s),
\end{aligned}$$

where (*) is justified because $\hat{V}^*_s$ is an extension of $\hat{T}^*_s$ (as is any unitary dilation of an isometry). This shows that $\tilde{V}_s$ is onto, so it is a unitary, hence V is a fully coisometric.

We have yet to show that V is a representation of product systems (that is, that the semigroup property holds) and that it is in fact a dilation of T.

Let $h \in H$, $s, t, u \in \mathcal{S}$, and let x_s, x_t, x_u be in $X(s), X(t), X(u)$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_{s+t}(x_s \otimes x_t)\hat{V}_u(\delta_u \cdot x_u \otimes h) &= \hat{V}_{s+t+u}(\delta_{s+t+u} \cdot x_s \otimes x_t \otimes x_u \otimes h) \\ &= V_s(x_s)\hat{V}_{t+u}(\delta_{t+u} \cdot x_t \otimes x_u \otimes h) \\ &= V_s(x_s)V_t(x_t)\hat{V}_u(\delta_u \cdot x_u \otimes h), \end{aligned}$$

so the semigroup property holds. Finally, let $s \in \mathcal{S}, x \in X(s)$ and $h = \delta_0 \cdot 1 \otimes h \in H$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} P_H V_s(x)\big|_H h &= P_H V_s(x)\delta_0 \cdot 1 \otimes h \\ &= P_H \hat{V}_s(\delta_s \cdot x \otimes h) \\ &= P_H P_{\mathcal{H}} \hat{V}_s\big|_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_s \cdot x \otimes h) \\ &= P_H \hat{T}_s(\delta_s \cdot x \otimes h) \\ &= P_H(\delta_0 \cdot 1 \otimes T_s(x)h) = T_s(x)h. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that V is already a minimal isometric dilation of T, because

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \bigvee\{\hat{V}_s(\delta_s \cdot (x \otimes h)) : s \in \mathcal{S}, x \in X(s), h \in H\} \\ &= \bigvee\{V_s(x)(\delta_0 \cdot (1 \otimes h)) : s \in \mathcal{S}, x \in X(s), h \in H\}. \end{aligned}$$

Item 3 in the statement of the theorem follows as in Proposition 3.2, [9]. ■

6 E$_0$-dilation of a strongly commuting pair of CP$_0$-maps

In this section we prove the main result of this paper: every pair of strongly commuting CP$_0$-semigroups has a minimal E$_0$-dilation. In the last two sections we worked out the two main steps in the Muhly-Solel approach to dilation. In this section we will put together these two steps and take care of the remaining technicalities. It is convenient to begin by proving a few technical lemmas. We then turn to prove the existence of the dilation, and we close this section with a discussion of minimality issues.

6.1 Continuity of CP-semigroups

Lemma 6.1 *Let N be a von Neumann algebra, let $\mathcal{S}$ be an abelian, cancellative semigroup with unit 0, and let X be a product system of N-correspondences over $\mathcal{S}$. Let W be completely contractive covariant representation of X on a Hilbert space G, such that W_0 is unital. Then the family of maps*

$$\Theta_s : a \mapsto \tilde{W}_s(I_{X(s)} \otimes a)\tilde{W}_s^* \ , \ a \in W_0(N)',$$

is a semigroup of CP maps (indexed by $\mathcal{S}$) on $W_0(N)'$. Moreover, if W is an isometric (a fully coisometric) representation, then Θ_s is a $*$-endomorphism (a unital map) for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.21 in [6], $\{\Theta_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ is a family of contractive, normal, completely positive maps on $W_0(N)'$. Moreover, these maps are unital if W is a fully coisometric representation, and they are $*$-endomorphisms if W is an isometric representation. All that remains is to check that $\Theta = \{\Theta_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ satisfies the semigroup condition $\Theta_s \circ \Theta_t = \Theta_{s+t}$. Fix $a \in W_0(N)'$. For all $s,t \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_s(\Theta_t(a)) &= \tilde{W}_s \left(I_{X(s)} \otimes \left(\tilde{W}_t(I_{X(t)} \otimes a)\tilde{W}_t^*\right)\right)\tilde{W}_s^* \\
&= \tilde{W}_s(I_{X(s)} \otimes \tilde{W}_t)(I_{X(s)} \otimes I_{X(t)} \otimes a)(I_{X(s)} \otimes \tilde{W}_t^*)\tilde{W}_s^* \\
&= \tilde{W}_{s+t}(U_{s,t} \otimes I_G)(I_{X(s)} \otimes I_{X(t)} \otimes a)(U_{s,t}^{-1} \otimes I_G)\tilde{W}_{s+t}^* \\
&= \tilde{W}_{s+t}(I_{X(s\cdot t)} \otimes a)\tilde{W}_{s+t}^* \\
&= \Theta_{s+t}(a).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that W_0 is unital, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_0(a)h &= \tilde{W_0}(I_N \otimes a)\tilde{W_0}^* h \\
&= \tilde{W_0}(I_N \otimes a)(I \otimes h) \\
&= W_0(I_N)ah \\
&= ah,
\end{aligned}$$

thus $\Theta_0(a) = a$ for all $a \in N$. ∎

Lemma 6.2 *Let $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be two CP-semigroups on $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$, where H is a separable Hilbert space. Then the two parameter CP-semigroup P defined by*

$$P_{(s,t)} := R_s S_t$$

is a CP-semigroup, that is, for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, the map $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \ni (s,t) \mapsto P_{(s,t)}(a)$ is weakly continuous. Moreover, P is jointly *continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathcal{M}$, endowed with the standard× weak-operator topology.*

Proof. Let $(s_n,t_n) \to (s,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, and let $a_n \to a \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, by Proposition 4.1, [6], $S_{t_n}(a_n) \to S_t(a)$ in the weak operator topology. By the same proposition used once more,

$$P_{(s_n,t_n)}(a_n) = R_{s_n}(S_{t_n}(a_n)) \to R_s(S_t(a)) = P_{(s,t)}(a)$$

where convergence is in the weak operator topology. ∎

The above lemma show that, given two CP$_0$-semigroups $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, we can form a two-parameter CP$_0$-semigroup $\{P_{(s,t)}\} = \{R_s S_t\}_{s,t\geq 0}$ which satisfies the natural continuity conditions. For the theorem below, we will need P to satisfy a stronger type of continuity. This is the subject of the next two lemmas.

Lemma 6.3 *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a topological semigroup with unit 0, and let $\{W_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ be a semigroup over $\mathcal{S}$ of CP maps on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R} \subseteq B(H)$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ be a sub C^*-algebra of $\mathcal{R}$ such that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$W_s(a) \xrightarrow{WOT} a$$

as $s \to 0$. Then for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$W_{t+s}(a) \xrightarrow{SOT} W_t(a)$$

as $s \to 0$.

Proof. The proof is taken, almost word for word, from the proof of the first half of Proposition 4.1, [6], which addresses the case $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. It is enough to prove $W_s(a) \xrightarrow{SOT} a$, as the result for t other than 0 follows from the normality of W_t and from the semigroup property. Also, we may assume that a is unitary. Let $h \in H$ be a unit vector. Then

$$\|W_s(a)h - ah\|^2 = \|W_s(a)h\|^2 - 2\mathrm{Re}\langle ah, W_s(a)h\rangle + \|ah\|^2.$$

To show that the right hand side converges to 0 as $s \to 0$, it is enough to show that $\lim_{s\to 0} \|W_s(a)h\|^2 = \|ah\|^2 = 1$. But

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \|W_s(a)h\| \\ &\geq |\langle W_s(a)h, ah\rangle| \xrightarrow{s\to 0} |\langle ah, ah\rangle| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

Lemma 6.4 *Let $\Theta = \{\Theta_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a CP-semigroup on $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$, where H is a separable Hilbert space. Then Θ is jointly strongly continuous, that is, for all $h \in H$, the map*

$$(t, a) \mapsto \Theta_t(a)h$$

is continuous in the standard× strong-operator topology.

Proof. First, assume that Θ is an E-semigroup. Let $(t_n, a_n) \to (t, a)$ in the standard×strong-operator topology in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{M}$, and $h \in H$.

$$\|\Theta_{t_n}(a_n)h - \Theta_t(a)h\|^2 = \|\Theta_{t_n}(a_n)h\|^2 - 2\mathrm{Re}\langle \Theta_{t_n}(a_n)h, \Theta_t(a)h\rangle + \|\Theta_t(a)h\|^2,$$

since Θ is continuous in the standard×weak-operator topology, it is enough to show that $\|\Theta_{t_n}(a_n)h\|^2 \to \|\Theta_t(a)h\|^2$. But

$$\|\Theta_{t_n}(a_n)h\|^2 = \langle \Theta_{t_n}(a_n^* a_n)h, h\rangle \to \langle \Theta_t(a^*a)h, h\rangle = \|\Theta_t(a)h\|^2,$$

because $a_n^* a_n \to a^*a$ in the weak-operator topology, and Θ is jointly continuous with respect to this topology. Thus Θ is also jointly continuous with respect to the strong-operator topology.

Now let Θ be an arbitrary CP-semigroup, and let $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ be an E-dilation of Θ. Then for all $a \in \mathcal{M}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\Theta_t(a) = u^* \alpha_t(uau^*)u,$$

whence Θ inherits the required type of joint continuity from α. ■

From the above lemma one immediately obtains:

Proposition 6.5 *Let $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be two CP-semigroups on $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$, where H is a separable Hilbert space. Then the two parameter CP-semigroup P defined by*

$$P_{(s,t)} := R_s S_t$$

is strongly continuous, that is, for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, the map $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \ni (s,t) \mapsto P_{(s,t)}(a)$ is strongly continuous. Moreover, P is jointly *continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathcal{M}$, endowed with the standard$\times$strong-operator topology.*

6.2 The existence of an E$_0$-dilation

We have now gathered enough tools to prove our main result.

Theorem 6.6 *Let $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be two strongly commuting CP$_0$-semigroups on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$, where H is a separable Hilbert space. Then the two parameter CP$_0$-semigroup P defined by*

$$P_{(s,t)} := R_s S_t$$

has a minimal E$_0$-dilation $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$. Moreover, K is separable.

Proof. We split the proof into the following steps:

1. Existence of a $*$-endomorphic dilation $(K, u, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ for $(\mathcal{M}, P)$.
2. Minimality of the dilation.
3. Continuity of α on $\mathcal{M}$.
4. Separablity of K.
5. Continuity of α.

Step 1: Existence of a $*$-endomorphic dilation

Let X and T be the product system (of $\mathcal{M}'$-correspondences) and the fully coisometric product system representation given by Theorem 4.12. By Theorem 5.2, there is a covariant isometric and fully coisometric representation (ρ, V) of X on some Hilbert space $K \supseteq H$, with ρ unital. Put $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \rho(M')'$, and let u be the isometric inclusion $H \to K$. Note that, since uH reduces ρ, $p := uu^* \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. We define a semigroup $\tilde{\alpha} = \{\tilde{\alpha}_s\}_{s\in\mathbb{R}_+^2}$ by

$$\tilde{\alpha}_s(b) = \tilde{V}_s(I \otimes b)\tilde{V}_s^* \ , \ s \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, b \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}.$$

By Lemma 6.1 above, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a semigroup of unital, normal $*$-endomorphisms of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. The (first part of the) proof of Theorem 2.24 in [6] works in this situation as well, and shows that

$$\mathcal{M} = u^* \tilde{\mathcal{R}} u \tag{24}$$

and that

$$P_s(u^* b u) = u^* \tilde{\alpha}_s(b) u \tag{25}$$

for all $b \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$. Note that we *cannot* use that theorem directly, because for fixed $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $X(s)$ is not necessarily the identity representation of P_s. For the sake of completeness, we repeat the argument (with some changes).

By Theorem 5.2, for all $a \in \mathcal{M}'$, $u^*\rho(a)u = \sigma(a)$, and by definition, $\sigma(a) = a$, thus

$$u^* \tilde{\mathcal{R}} u = u^* \rho(\mathcal{M}')' u = (u^* \rho(\mathcal{M}') u)' = (\mathcal{M}')' = \mathcal{M},$$

where the $\subseteq$ part of the second equality follows from the fact that uH reduces $\rho(\mathcal{M}')$. This establishes (24), which allows us to make the identification $\mathcal{M} = p\tilde{\mathcal{R}}p \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. To obtain (25), we fix $s \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ and $b \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, and we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
P_s(u^* b u) &= \tilde{T}_s (I \otimes u^* b u) \tilde{T}_s^* \\
(*) &= u^* \tilde{V}_s (I \otimes u)(I \otimes u^* b u)(I \otimes u^*) \tilde{V}_s^* u \\
(**) &= u^* \tilde{V}_s (I \otimes b) \tilde{V}_s^* u \\
&= u^* \tilde{\alpha}_s(b) u.
\end{aligned}$$

The equalities marked by (*) and (**) are justified by items 2 and 3 of Theorem 5.2, respectively. Equation (25) implies that p is a coinvariant projection. Since $\tilde{\alpha}$ is unital, we have $\tilde{\alpha}_t(p) \geq p$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$, that is, p is an increasing projection.

Even though we started out with a minimal isometric representation V of T, we cannot show that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a minimal dilation of P. We define

$$\mathcal{R} = W^* \left(\bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^2_+} \tilde{\alpha}_t(\mathcal{M}) \right). \tag{26}$$

This von Neumann algebra is invariant under $\tilde{\alpha}$, and we denote $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}|_{\mathcal{R}}$. Now it is immediate that $(p, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ is a $*$-endomorphic dilation of $(\mathcal{M}, P)$. Indeed, for all $b \in \mathcal{R}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$,

$$p\alpha_t(b)p = p\tilde{\alpha}_t(b)p = P_t(pbp),$$

because $(p, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}, \tilde{\alpha})$ is a dilation of $(\mathcal{M}, P)$. It is also clear that $\mathcal{M} = p\mathcal{R}p$.

The only issue left to handle is the continuity of α. We now define two one-parameter semigroups on $\mathcal{R}$: $\beta = \{\beta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\gamma = \{\gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ by $\beta_t = \alpha_{(t,0)}$ and $\gamma_t = \alpha_{(0,t)}$. Clearly, β and γ are semigroups of normal, unital $*$-endomorphisms of $\mathcal{R}$. If we show that K is separable, then by Lemma 6.2, once we show that β and γ are E_0-semigroups – that is, possess the required weak continuity – then we have shown that α is an E_0-semigroup. The rest of the proof is dedicated to showing that β and γ are E$_0$-semigroups and that K is separable. But before we do that, we must show that the dilation is minimal, and, in fact, a bit more.

Step 2: Minimality of the dilation

What we really need to prove is that

$$K = \bigvee \alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_m,t_{n-1})}(\mathcal{M})\cdots\alpha_{(s_m,t_1)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_m,0)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_{m-1},0)}(\mathcal{M})\cdots\alpha_{(s_1,0)}(\mathcal{M})H \tag{27}$$

where in the right hand side of the above expression we run over all strictly positive pairs $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and all partitions $\{0 = s_0 < \ldots < s_m = s\}$ and $\{0 = t_0 < \ldots < t_n = t\}$ of $[0,s]$ and $[0,t]$. We shall also need an analog of (27) with the roles of the first and second "time variables" of α replaced, but since the proof is very similar we shall not prove it.

Recall that

$$K = \bigvee \left\{V_{(s,t)}(X(s,t))H : (s,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2\right\}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that for a fixed $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$,

$$V_{(s,t)}(X(s,t))H = \bigvee \alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M})\cdots\alpha_{(s_m,t_1)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_m,0)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_{m-1},0)}(\mathcal{M})\cdots\alpha_{(s_1,0)}(\mathcal{M})H \tag{28}$$

where in the right hand side of the above expression we run over all partitions $\{0 = s_0 < \ldots < s_m = s\}$ and $\{0 = t_0 < \ldots < t_n = t\}$ of $[0,s]$ and $[0,t]$.

To show that we can consider only s and t strictly positive, we note that if $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
V_u(X(u))H &= \tilde{V}_u(I_{X(u)} \otimes \tilde{V}_v)(I_{X(u)} \otimes \tilde{V}_v^*)(X(u) \otimes H) \\
&= \tilde{V}_u(I_{X(u)} \otimes \tilde{V}_v)(I_{X(u)} \otimes \tilde{T}_v^*)(X(u) \otimes H) \\
&= \tilde{V}_{u+v}(X(u) \otimes \tilde{T}_v^* H) \\
&\subseteq V_{u+v}(X(u+v))H.
\end{aligned}$$

We now turn to establish (28). Recall the notation and constructions of Subsections 3.2 and 4.3.

$$X(s,t) := E(s) \otimes F(t),$$

and

$$T_{(s,t)}(\xi \otimes \eta) := T_s^E(\xi)T_t^F(\eta),$$

where (E, T^E) and (F, T^F) are the product systems and representations representing R and S via Muhly and Solel's construction as described in 3.2. By Lemma 4.3 (2) of [6], for all $r > 0$,

$$\bigvee\{(I_{E(r)} \otimes a)(\tilde{T}_r^E)^* h : a \in \mathcal{M}, h \in H\} = \mathcal{E}_r \otimes_{\mathcal{M}'} H,$$

where $\mathcal{E}_r = \mathcal{L}_M(H, H_{\mathfrak{p}}^R)$ with the partition $\mathfrak{p} = \{0 = r_0 < r_1 = r\}$. Similarly,

$$\bigvee\{(I_{F(r)} \otimes a)(\tilde{T}_r^F)^* h : a \in \mathcal{M}, h \in H\} = \mathcal{F}_r \otimes_{\mathcal{M}'} H.$$

Fix $s,t > 0$. Under the obvious identifications, if we go over all the partitions $\{0 = s_0 < \ldots < s_m = s\}$ and $\{0 = t_0 < \ldots < t_n = t\}$ of $[0,s]$ and $[0,t]$, the collection of correspondences

$$\mathcal{E}_{s_1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{s_2-s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{E}_{s_m-s_{m-1}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{t_n-t_{n-1}}$$

is dense in $X(s,t)$. Using Lemma 4.3 (2) of [6] repeatedly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \alpha_{(s_m,t_1)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_m,0)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_{m-1},0)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \alpha_{(s_1,0)}(\mathcal{M})H \\
&= \alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \tilde{V}_{(s_1,0)}(I_{(s_1,0)} \otimes \mathcal{M})\tilde{V}^*_{(s_1,0)}H \\
&= \alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \tilde{V}_{(s_1,0)}(I_{(s_1,0)} \otimes \mathcal{M})(\tilde{T}^E_{s_1})^*H \\
&= \alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \tilde{V}_{(s_2,0)}(I_{(s_2,0)} \otimes \mathcal{M})\tilde{V}^*_{(s_2,0)}\tilde{V}_{(s_1,0)}(\mathcal{E}_{s_1} \otimes H).
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\tilde{V}^*_{(s_2,0)}\tilde{V}_{(s_1,0)} = (I_{(s_1,0)} \otimes \tilde{V}^*_{(s_2-s_1,0)})\tilde{V}^*_{(s_1,0)}\tilde{V}_{(s_1,0)} = (I_{(s_1,0)} \otimes \tilde{V}^*_{(s_2-s_1,0)}),$$

so we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \alpha_{(s_m,t_1)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_m,0)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_{m-1},0)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \alpha_{(s_1,0)}(\mathcal{M})H \\
&= \alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \tilde{V}_{(s_2,0)}(I_{(s_2,0)} \otimes \mathcal{M})(I_{(s_1,0)} \otimes \tilde{V}^*_{(s_2-s_1,0)})(\mathcal{E}_{s_1} \otimes H) \\
&= \alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \tilde{V}_{(s_2,0)}(\mathcal{E}_{s_1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{s_2-s_1} \otimes H).
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing this way, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_{(s_m,t_n)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \alpha_{(s_m,t_1)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_m,0)}(\mathcal{M})\alpha_{(s_{m-1},0)}(\mathcal{M}) \cdots \alpha_{(s_1,0)}(\mathcal{M})H \\
&= V_{(s,t)}(\mathcal{E}_{s_1} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{s_2-s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{E}_{s_m-s_{m-1}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{t_n-t_{n-1}})H.
\end{aligned}$$

Since this computation works for any partition of $[0,s]$ and $[0,t]$, we have (28). This, in turn, implies (27), which is what we have been after.

Now it is a simple matter to show that $(p, \mathcal{R}, \alpha)$ is a minimal dilation of $(\mathcal{M}, P)$. First, note that by (27)

$$K = [\mathcal{R}pK] .$$

In light of (26), Definitions 2.7 and 2.8 and Proposition 2.9, we have to show that the central support of p in $\mathcal{R}$ is I_K. But this follows by a standard (and short) argument, which we omit.

Step 3: Continuity of β and γ on $\mathcal{M}$

We shall now show that function $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto \beta_t(a)$ is strongly continuous from the right for each $a \in \mathcal{A} := C^*\left(\bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^2_+} \alpha_t(M)\right)$. Of course, the same is true for γ as well.

Let $k_1 = \sum_i \alpha_{s_i}(m_i)h_i$ and $k_2 = \sum_j \alpha_{t_j}(n_j)g_j$ be in K, where $s_i = (s^1_i, s^2_i), t_j = (t^1_j, t^2_j) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$, $m_i, n_j \in \mathcal{R}$ and $h_i, g_j \in H$. By (27), we may consider only $s^1_i, t^1_j > 0$. Take $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and $t > 0$. For the following computations, we may assume that k_1 and k_2 are given by finite sums, and we take $t < \min\{t_j^1, s_i^1\}_{i,j}$. We will abuse notation a bit by denoting $(t,0)$ by t. Now compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \beta_t(a)k_1, k_2\rangle &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \alpha_t(a)\alpha_{s_i}(m_i)h_i, \alpha_{t_j}(n_j)g_j\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle \alpha_{t_j}(n_j^*)\alpha_t(a)\alpha_{s_i}(m_i)h_i, g_j\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle \alpha_t\left(\alpha_{t_j-t}(n_j^*)a\alpha_{s_i-t}(m_i)\right)h_i, g_j\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle P_t\left(p\alpha_{t_j-t}(n_j^*)pap\alpha_{s_i-t}(m_i)p\right)h_i, g_j\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle P_t\left(P_{t_j-t}(pn_j^*p)aP_{s_i-t}(pm_ip)\right)h_i, g_j\rangle \\
&\xrightarrow{t\to 0} \sum_{i,j} \langle P_{t_j}(pn_j^*p)aP_{s_i}(pm_ip)h_i, g_j\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle a\alpha_{s_i}(m_i)h_i, \alpha_{t_j}(n_j)g_j\rangle \\
&= \langle ak_1, k_2\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of the joint strong continuity of P (Proposition 6.5). This implies that for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $\alpha_t(a) \to a$ weakly as $t \to 0$. It follows from Lemma 6.3 that β is strongly right continuous on $\bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+^2}\alpha_t(\mathcal{M})$, whence it is also strongly right continuous on $\mathcal{A} := C^*\left(\bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+^2}\alpha_t(M)\right)$.

Step 4: Separability of K

As we have already noted in Step 2, from (27) it follows that

$$K = \bigvee\{\alpha_{u_1}(a_1)\cdots\alpha_{u_k}(a_k)h : u_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, a_i \in \mathcal{M}, h \in H\}.$$

We define

$$K_0 = \bigvee\{\gamma_{t_1}(\beta_{s_1}((a_1))\cdots\gamma_{t_k}(\beta_{s_k}((a_k))h : s_i, t_i \in \mathbb{Q}_+, a_i \in \mathcal{M}, h \in H\},$$

and

$$K_1 = \bigvee\{\gamma_{t_1}(\beta_{s_1}((a_1))\cdots\gamma_{t_k}(\beta_{s_k}((a_k))h : s_i \in \mathbb{R}_+, t_i \in \mathbb{Q}_+, a_i \in \mathcal{M}, h \in H\}.$$

K_0 is clearly separable. Because of the normality of γ, the strong right continuity of β on $\mathcal{M}$ and the fact that multiplication is strongly continuous on bounded subsets of $\mathcal{R}$, we can assert that $K_0 = K_1$, thus K_1 is separable. Now from the strong right continuity of γ on $\mathcal{A}$ and the continuity of multiplication, we see that $K = K_1$, whence it is separable.

Step 5: Continuity of α

Recall that all that we have left to show is that β and γ possess the desired weak continuity. We shall concentrate on β.

A short summary of the situation: we have a semigroup β of normal, unital $*$-endomorphisms defined on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}$ (which acts on a *separable* Hilbert space K), and there is a weakly dense C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ such that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, k \in K$, the function $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \tau \mapsto \beta_\tau(a)k \in K$ is right continuous. From this, we want to conclude that for all $b \in \mathcal{R}$, and all $k_1, k_2 \in K$, the map

$$\tau \mapsto \langle \beta_\tau(b)k_1, k_2 \rangle$$

is continuous. This problem was already handled by Arveson in [1] and by Muhly and Solel in [6]. For completeness, we give some shortened variant of their arguments.

For every $b \in \mathcal{R}$, there is a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ weakly converging to b. Thus, for every $b \in \mathcal{R}$ and every $k_1, k_2, \in K$, the function $\tau \mapsto \langle \beta_\tau(b)k_1, k_2 \rangle$ is the pointwise limit of the sequence of right continuous functions $\tau \mapsto \langle \beta_\tau(a_n)k_1, k_2 \rangle$, so it is measurable. It now follows from Proposition 2.3.1 in [1] (which, in turn, follows from well known results in the theory of operator semigroups) that β is an E$_0$-semigroup. ■

By Proposition 8.1, if H is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, then every pair of commuting CP-semigroups on $B(H)$ commutes strongly. Denote by $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.7 *Every two parameter CP$_0$-semigroup on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has an E$_0$-dilation.*

Loosely speaking, the whole point of dilation theory is to present a certain object as part of a simpler, better understood object. Theorem 6.6 tells us that a two-parameter CP$_0$-semigroup can always be dilated to a two parameter E$_0$-semigroup. Certainly, E$_0$-semigroups are a very special case of CP$_0$-semigroups, so we have indeed made the situation simpler. But did we really? Perhaps P (the CP$_0$-semigroup) was acting on a very simple kind of von Neumann algebra, but now α (the dilation) is acting on a very complicated one? Actually, we did not say much about the structure of $\mathcal{R}$ (the dilating algebra). In this context, we have the following partial, but quite satisfying, result.

Proposition 6.8 *If $\mathcal{M} = B(H)$, then $\mathcal{R} = B(K)$.*

Proof. Let $q \in B(K)$ be a projection in $\mathcal{R}'$. In particular, $pq = qp = pqp$, so qp is a projection $B(H)$ which commutes with $B(H)$, thus qp is either 0 or I_H.

If it is 0 then for all $t_i \in \mathbb{R}^2_+, m_i \in \mathcal{M}, h \in H$,

$$q\alpha_{t_1}(m_1)\cdots\alpha_{t_k}(m_k)h = \alpha_{t_1}(m_1)\cdots\alpha_{t_k}(m_k)qph = 0,$$

so $qK = 0$ and $q = 0$.

If $qp = I_H$ then for all $0 < t_i \in \mathbb{R}^2_+, m_i \in \mathcal{M}, h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} q\alpha_{t_1}(m_1)\cdots\alpha_{t_k}(m_k)h &= \alpha_{t_1}(m_1)\cdots\alpha_{t_k}(m_k)qph \\ &= \alpha_{t_1}(m_1)\cdots\alpha_{t_k}(m_k)h, \end{aligned}$$

so $qK = K$ and $q = I_K$. We see that the only projections in $\mathcal{R}'$ are 0 and I_K, so $\mathcal{R}' = \mathbb{C} \cdot I_K$, hence $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}'' = B(K)$. ■

7 Prospects for further results

In the previous section we proved the main result of this paper, Theorem 6.6, which says that every pair of strongly commuting CP$_0$-semigroups has an E$_0$-dilation. In fact, the only place where strong commutativity was used was in showing that the CP$_0$-semigroup at hand could be represented by a product system representation as in the following equation

$$\Theta_s(a) = \tilde{T_s}\left(I_{X(s)} \otimes a\right)\tilde{T_s}^*. \tag{29}$$

Furthermore, in light of our dilation result from Subsection 5.2, Theorem 5.2, we see that given a subsemigroup $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ such that for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $s_-, s_+ \in \mathcal{S}$, and a CP$_0$-semigroup $\Theta = \{\Theta_s\}_{s\in\mathcal{S}}$ acting on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(H)$, ($H$ separable), an E$_0$-dilation of Θ can be constructed if we are able to find a product system of $\mathcal{M}'$-correspondences X over $\mathcal{S}$ and a fully coisometric product system representation T of X on H fulfilling (29). In this section we use this observation to dilate a CP$_0$-semigroup over $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{R}_+$ which does not satisfy strong commutation.

7.1 Example: E$_0$-dilation of a CP$_0$-semigroup over $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ - without strong commutation

Let $H = \mathbb{C} \oplus L^2(0,\infty)$. Denote by U the left-shift semigroup on $L^2(0,\infty)$ given by

$$(U_t f)(s) = f(t+s).$$

Let $S_t = 1 \oplus U_t$, and define a CP$_0$-semigroup Φ on $B(H)$ by

$$\Phi_t(a) = S_t a S_t^*.$$

Next, define $k = 1 \oplus 0 \in H$, and define the CP map Θ by

$$\Theta(a) = \langle ak, k\rangle I_H \ , \ a \in B(H).$$

Peeking into Example 5.5 in [11] one sees that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, Θ and Φ_t commute but not strongly. However, we shall show that the CP$_0$-semigroup $\Psi = \{\Psi_{n,t}\}_{(n,t)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{R}_+}$ defined by $\Psi_{n,t} = \Theta^n \circ \Phi_t$ has an E$_0$-dilation. In light of the opening remarks of this section, all we have to do is construct an appropriate product system representation.

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^2(0,\infty)$, and set $e_0 = k$. Define $E_{i,0}$ to be the infinite square matrix indexed by $I = \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$ having 1 in the ith row 0th column, and zeros elsewhere. Abusing notation slightly, we let $E_{i,0}$ denote also the operator that this matrix represents with respect to the basis $\mathcal{E} = \{e_0\}_{i=0}^\infty$, namely, the rank one operator $e_i \otimes e_0^*$. We note that

$$\Theta(a) = \sum_{i\in I} E_{i,0} a E_{i,0}^*.$$

If $(a_{i,j}(t))_{i,j\in I}$ is the matrix representing S_t with respect to $\mathcal{E}$, then we have

$$S_t e_j = \sum_{i\in I} a_{i,j}(t) e_i,$$

thus

$$S_t E_{j,0} = \sum_{i\in I} a_{i,j}(t) E_{i,0} = \sum_{i\in I} a_{i,j}(t) E_{i,0} S_t.$$

The matrix function $a(t)$ is a semigroup of coisometric matrices, so there is a semigroup of unitary matrices $\{u(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ indexed by $I\cup I'$, where I' is another copy of I, such that the I-I block in $u(t)$ is equal to $a(t)$, and the I-I' block in $u(t)$ is 0 (u is simply the matrix representation of the minimal isometric dilation of the semigroup S, which is unitary, because $a(t)$ is coisometric). We now define a family $\{T_i\}_{i\in I\cup I'}$ of operators on H by $T_i = E_{i,0}$ when $i\in I$ and $T_i = 0$ when $i\in I'$. Because of the block structure that $u(t)$ possesses, we have for all $t\geq 0$

$$S_t T_j = \sum_{i\in I\cup I'} u_{i,j}(t) T_i S_t. \tag{30}$$

We shall now construct a product system of Hilbert spaces over $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{R}_+$. Let $E = \ell^2(I\cup I')$, and put $E(n) = E^{\otimes n}$. We fix an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i\}_{i\in I\cup I'}$ in E. Also, let F be the trivial product system, that is, the product system with $F(t) = \mathbb{C}$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$ and the obvious multiplication. For all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and all $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, we define

$$X(n,t) = E(n)\otimes F(t).$$

To make $X = \{X(n,t)\}_{(n,t)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{R}_+}$ into a product system, we must define unitaries

$$U_{(m,s)(n,t)} : X(m,s)\otimes X(n,t)\rightarrow X(m+n,s+t)$$

that are associative in the sense of equation (1). This is where u comes in. If $\lambda\in F(s), \mu\in F(t)$, we define

$$U_{(1,s)(1,t)}(f_i\otimes\lambda)\otimes(f_j\otimes\mu) = \sum_{k\in I\cup I'} u_{k,j}(t)\, f_i\otimes f_k\otimes\lambda\mu,$$

and we continue this map to all of X. Let $k,m,n\in\mathbb{N}$, and $s,t,u\in\mathbb{R}_+$. We have to show that

$$U_{(k,s)(m+n,t+u)}(I\otimes U_{(m,t)(n,u)}) = U_{(k+m,s+t)(n,u)}(U_{(k,s)(m,t)}\otimes I).$$

We shall operate with both sides on a typical element of the form

$$f_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{i_k}\otimes\lambda\otimes f_{j_1}\otimes\cdots f_{j_m}\otimes\mu\otimes f_{l_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{l_n}\otimes\nu,$$

where $\lambda\in F(s)$, $\mu\in F(t)$ and $\nu\in F(u)$. Operating first with $(I\otimes U_{(m,t)(n,u)})$ we get

$$\sum_{l_1',\ldots,l_n'} u_{l_1',l_1}(t)\cdots u_{l_n',l_n}(t) f_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{i_k}\otimes\lambda\otimes f_{j_1}\otimes\cdots f_{j_m}\otimes f_{l_1'}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{l_n'}\otimes\mu\nu,$$

and following with an application of $U_{(k,s)(m+n,t+u)}$ we get

$$\sum_{l'_1,\dots,l'_n} u_{l'_1,l_1}(t)\cdots u_{l'_n,l_n}(t) \sum_{j'_1,\dots,j'_m} u_{j'_1,j_1}(s)\cdots u_{j'_m,j_m}(s) \sum_{l''_1,\dots,l''_n} u_{l''_1,l'_1}(s)\cdots u_{l''_n,l'_n}(s)$$
$$f_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{i_k}\otimes f_{j'_1}\otimes\cdots f_{j'_m}\otimes f_{l''_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{l''_n}\otimes\lambda\mu\nu$$

which is

$$\sum_{j'_1,\dots,j'_m} u_{j'_1,j_1}(s)\cdots u_{j'_m,j_m}(s) \sum_{l''_1,\dots,l''_n} u_{l''_1,l_1}(s+t)\cdots u_{l''_n,l_n}(s+t)$$
$$f_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{i_k}\otimes f_{j'_1}\otimes\cdots f_{j'_m}\otimes f_{l''_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{l''_n}\otimes\lambda\mu\nu$$

because u is a semigroup. On the other hand applying first $(U_{(k,s)(m,t)}\otimes I)$ we get

$$\sum_{j'_1,\dots,j'_m} u_{j'_1,j_1}(s)\cdots u_{j'_m,j_m}(s) f_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{i_k}\otimes f_{j'_1}\otimes\cdots f_{j'_m}\otimes\lambda\mu\otimes f_{l_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{l_n}\otimes\nu,$$

which becomes, after operating with $U_{(k+m,s+t)(n,u)}$,

$$\sum_{j'_1,\dots,j'_m} u_{j'_1,j_1}(s)\cdots u_{j'_m,j_m}(s) \sum_{l'_1,\dots l'_n} u_{l'_1,l_1}(s+t)\cdots u_{l'_n,l_n}(s+t)$$
$$f_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{i_k}\otimes f_{j'_1}\otimes\cdots f_{j'_m}\otimes f_{l'_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes f_{l'_n}\otimes\lambda\mu\nu$$

which is the same as above.

We now proceed to construct a product system representation that will give rise to Ψ. We define

$$T_{(n,t)}(e_{i_1}\otimes\cdots e_{i_n}\otimes 1) = T_{i_1}\cdots T_{i_n}S_t.$$

The relation (30) is precisely what makes T into a product system representation (it is completely contractive because $(T_i)_{i\in I\cup I'}$ is a row contraction). The last thing to check is that for all $a\in B(H)$,

$$\tilde{T}_{(n,t)}(I_{X_{(n,t)}}\otimes a)\tilde{T}^*_{(n,t)} = \Psi_{(n,t)}(a).$$

But, after some identifications, $\tilde{S}_t = S_t$, and $\tilde{T}$ is just the row contraction $(T_i)_{i\in I\cup I'}$, so we are done.

We note that in this example too many "miracles" have happened, and we do not yet understand how what we have done here can be generalized to other CP$_0$-semigroups over $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{R}_+$.

8 Appendix - examples of strongly commuting semigroups

In this appendix we give some examples of strongly commuting CP-semigroups. In special cases we are able to state a necessary and sufficient condition for strong commutativity.

8.1 Endomorphisms, automorphisms, and composition with automorphisms

By Lemma 5.4 in [11], there are plenty of examples of CP maps Θ,Φ that commute strongly:

1. If Θ and Φ are endomorphisms that commute then they commute strongly.
2. If Θ and Φ commute and either one of them is an automorphism then they commute strongly.
3. If α is a normal automorphism that commutes with Θ, and $\Phi = \Theta \circ \alpha$, then Θ and Φ commute strongly.

We note that item 2 does not remain true if *automorphism* is replaced by *endomorphism*. Because two CP-semigroups Θ and Φ commute strongly if and only if for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, Θ_s and Φ_t commute strongly, it is immediate that:

1. If Θ and Φ are commuting E-semigroups then they commute strongly.
2. If Θ and Φ commute and either one of them is an automorphism semigroup then they commute strongly.
3. If α is a normal automorphism semigroup that commutes with Θ, and $\Phi_t = \Theta_t \circ \alpha_t$, then Θ and Φ commute strongly.

At a first glance, item 1 might not seem very interesting in the context of dilating CP-semigroups to enodmorphism semigroups. However, we find this this item *very* interesting, because one expcets a good dilation theorem not to complicate the situation in any sense. For example, in Theorem 6.6, in order to prove the existence of an E-dilation we have to assume that the CP-semigroups $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are unital, but the E-dilation that we construct is also unital. Another example, again from Theorem 6.6: if the CP-semigroups act on a type I factor, then so does the minimal E$_0$-dilation. The importance of item 1 is that it ensures that if $\{\alpha_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\beta_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are an E-dilation of $\{R_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, then α and β commute strongly.

8.2 Semigroups on $B(H)$

It is a well known fact that if Θ and Φ are CP-semigroups, then for each t there are two (ℓ^2-independent) row contractions $\{T_{i,t}\}_{i=1}^{m(t)}$ and $\{S_{t,j}\}_{j=1}^{n(t)}$ ($m(t), n(t)$ may be equal to ∞) such that for all $a \in B(H)$

$$\Theta_t(a) = \sum_i T_{t,i} a T_{t,i}^*, \tag{31}$$

and

$$\Phi_t(a) = \sum_j S_{t,j} a S_{t,j}^* \, . \tag{32}$$

We shall call such semigroups *conjugation semigroups*, as they are given by conjugating an element with a row contraction. It now follows from Proposition 5.8, [11], that Θ and Φ commute strongly if and only if for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ there is an $m(t)n(t) \times m(t)n(t)$ unitary matrix

$$u(s,t) = \left(u(s,t)_{(i,j)}^{(k,l)} \right)_{(i,j),(k,l)}$$

such that for all i,j,

$$T_{t,i} S_{s,j} = \sum_{(k,l)} u(s,t)_{(i,j)}^{(k,l)} S_{s,l} T_{t,k}. \tag{33}$$

As a simple example, if Φ and Ψ are given by (31) and (32), and $S_{t,j}$ commutes with $T_{s,i}$ for all s,t,i,j, then Φ and Ψ strongly commute.

8.3 Semigroups on $B(H)$, H finite dimensional

If H is a finite dimensional then any two commuting CP-semigroups on $B(H)$ commute strongly. This follows immediately from the following proposition.

Proposition 8.1 *Let Φ and Ψ be two commuting CP maps on $B(H)$, with H a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Then Φ and Ψ strongly commute.*

Proof. Assume that Φ is given by

$$\Phi(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} S_i a S_i^*$$

and that Ψ is given by

$$\Psi(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_j a T_j^*,$$

where $\{S_1, \ldots, S_m\}$ and $\{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$ are row contractions and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because Φ and Ψ commute, we have that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{mn} S_i T_j a T_j^* S_i^* = \sum_{i,j=1}^{mn} T_j S_i a S_i^* T_j^*$$

for all $a \in B(H)$. By the lemma on page 153 of [4] this implies that there exists an $mn \times mn$ unitary matrix u such that

$$S_i T_j = \sum_{(k,l)} u_{(i,j)}^{(k,l)} T_l S_k,$$

and this means precisely that Φ and Ψ strongly commute. ■

We note here that the lemma cited above is stated in [4] for unital CP maps, but the proof works for the non-unital case as well. The reason that the assertion of the proposition fails for $B(H)$ with H infinite dimensional is that in that case we may have $mn = \infty$, and the lemma is only true for a CP maps given by finite sums.

8.4 Conjugation semigroups on general von Neumann algebras

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H. We now show that if Θ and Φ are CP-semigroups on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ given as in (31) and (32), where $T_{t,i}, S_{t,j}$ are all in $\mathcal{M}$, then a sufficient condition for them to commute strongly with each other is that there exists a unitary as in (33). To this end, it is enough to show that if Θ and Φ are CP maps given by

$$\Theta(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} T_i a T_i^*,$$

and

$$\Phi(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j a S_j^*,$$

where T_i, S_j are all in $\mathcal{M}$, then a sufficient condition for strong commutation is the existence of a unitary matrix

$$u = \left(u_{(i,j)}^{(k,l)}\right)_{(i,j),(k,l)}$$

such that for all i, j,

$$T_i S_j = \sum_{(k,l)} u_{(i,j)}^{(k,l)} S_l T_k.$$

Indeed, by Proposition 5.6 of [11], it is enough to show that there are are two $\mathcal{M}'$ correspondences E and F, together with an $\mathcal{M}'$-correspondence isomorphism

$$t : E \otimes_{\mathcal{M}'} F \to F \otimes_{\mathcal{M}'} E$$

and two c.c. representations (σ, T) and (σ, S) of E and F, respectively, on H, such that:

1. for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $\tilde{T}(I_E \otimes a)\tilde{T}^* = \Theta(a)$,
2. for all $a \in \mathcal{M}$, $\tilde{S}(I_F \otimes a)\tilde{S}^* = \Phi(a)$,
3. $\tilde{T}(I_E \otimes \tilde{S}) = \tilde{S}(I_F \otimes \tilde{T}) \circ (t \otimes I_H)$.

We construct these correspondences as follows. Let

$$E = \oplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{M}' \quad \text{and} \quad F = \oplus_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}',$$

with the natural inner product and the natural actions of $\mathcal{M}'$. If we denote by $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{n}$ the natural "bases" of these spaces, then we can define

$$t(e_i \otimes f_j) = \sum_{(k,l)} u_{(i,j)}^{(k,l)} f_l \otimes e_k.$$

We define σ to be the identity representation. Now $E \otimes_\sigma H \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{m} H$, and $F \otimes_\sigma H \cong \oplus_{j=1}^{n} H$, and on these spaces we define $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{S}$ to be the row contractions given by $(T_1, \ldots, T_m)$ and $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$. Some straightforward calculations shows that items (1)-(3) are fulfilled.

8.5 Semigroups on $\mathbb{C}^n$ or ℓ^∞

We close this paper with a more down-to-earth example of a strongly commuting pair of CP$_0$-semigroups. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}^n$ or $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$, considered as the algebra of diagonal matrices acting on the Hilbert space $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ or $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. In this context, a unital CP map is just a stochastic matrix, that is, a matrix P such that $p_{ij} \geq 0$ for all i, j and such that for all i,

$$\sum_j p_{ij} = 1.$$

Indeed, it is straightforward to check that such a matrix gives rise to a normal, unital, completely positive map. On the other hand, for all i, the composition of a normal, unital, completely positive map with the normal state projecting onto the ith element must be a normal state, so it has to be given by a nonnegative element in ℓ^1 with norm 1.

Given two such matrices P and Q, we ask when do they strongly commute. To answer this question, we first find orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q H$ and $\mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P H$. If $\{e_i\}$ is the vector with 1 in the ith place and 0's elsewhere, it is easy to see that the set $\{e_i \otimes_P e_j \otimes_Q e_k\}_{i,j,k}$ spans $\mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q H$, and $\{e_i \otimes_Q e_j \otimes_P e_k\}_{i,j,k}$ spans $\mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P H$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e_i \otimes_P e_j \otimes_Q e_k, e_m \otimes_P e_p \otimes_Q e_q \rangle &= \langle e_k, Q(e_j^* P(e_i^* e_m) e_p) e_q \rangle \\ &= \delta_{i,m} \delta_{j,p} \delta_{k,q} q_{kj} p_{ji}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\{(q_{kj} p_{ji})^{-1/2} \cdot e_i \otimes_P e_j \otimes_Q e_k : i, j, k \text{ such that } q_{kj} p_{ji} \neq 0\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q H$, and similarly for $\mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P H$. If $u : \mathcal{M} \otimes_P \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q H \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_Q \mathcal{M} \otimes_P H$ is a unitary that makes P and Q commute strongly, then for all i, k we must have

$$u(e_i \otimes_P a \otimes_Q e_k) = (e_i \otimes 1 \otimes e_k) u(e_i \otimes_P a \otimes_Q e_k) = e_i \otimes_Q b \otimes_P e_k,$$

thus for all i, j, the spaces $V_{i,j} := \{e_i \otimes_P a \otimes_Q e_k : a \in \mathcal{M}\}$ and $W_{i,j} := \{e_i \otimes_Q a \otimes_P e_k : a \in \mathcal{M}\}$ bust be isomorphic. Thus, a necessary condition for strong commutativity is that for all i, k,

$$|\{j : q_{kj} p_{ji} \neq 0\}| = |\{j : p_{kj} q_{ji} \neq 0\}|, \tag{34}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes cardinality. This condition is also sufficient, because we may define a unitary between each pair $V_{i,j}$ and $W_{i,j}$, sending $e_i \otimes_P 1 \otimes_Q e_k$ to $e_i \otimes_Q 1 \otimes_P e_k$ and doing whatever on the complement. By the way, this example shows that when two CP maps commute strongly, there may be a great many unitaries that "implement" the strong commutation.

One can impose certain block structures on P and Q that will guarantee that (34) is satisfied. Since we are in particularly interested in semigroups, we shall be content with the following observation. Let P and Q be two commuting, *irreducible*, stochastic matrices. Then $\mathcal{P}_t := e^{-t}e^{tP}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_t := e^{-t}e^{tQ}$ are two commuting, stochastic semigroups with strictly positive elements, and thus they commute strongly. For example, let

$$P = \frac{1}{3}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \, , \, Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/4 & 1/2 & 1/4 \\ 1/4 & 1/2 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} .$$

One may check that P and Q commute, but do not satisfy (34), hence they do not commute strongly. So we see that strong commutativity may fail even in the simplest cases. However, P and Q are both irreducible, thus the semigroups they generate *do* commute strongly.

9 Acknowledgements

The author is supported by the Jacobs School of Graduate Studies and the Department of Mathematics at the Technion - I.I.T, and by the Gutwirth Fellowship. This research is part of the author's PhD. thesis, done under the supervision of Professor Baruch Solel.

References

[1] Wm.B. Arveson, *Non commutative dynamics and E-semigroups*, Springer Monographs in Math., Springer-Verlag, 2003.

[2] B. V. R. Bhat and M. Skeide, *Tensor product systems of Hilbert modules and dilations of completely positive semigroups*, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics **3** (2000), 519–575.

[3] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-modules: A toolkit for operator algebraists,* vol. **124** of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series,* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[4] R. Gohm, *Noncommutative Stationary Processes*, Lecture Notes in Matematics **1839**, Springer, 2004.

[5] P. Muhly and B. Solel, *Tensor algebras over C^*-correspondences: representations, dilations, and C^*-envelopes*, J. Funct. Anal. **158** (1998), 389–457.

[6] P. Muhly and B. Solel, *Quantum Markov Processes (Correspondences and Dilations)*, Internat. J. Math. **13** (2002), 863–906.

[7] P. Muhly and B. Solel, *Hardy algebras, W^*-correspondences and interpolation theory*, Math. Ann. 330, No. 2, 353–415 (2004).

[8] D. SeLegue, *Minimal Dilations of CP maps and C^*-Extension of the Szegö Limit Theorem,* Ph.D Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1997.

[9] Orr Shalit, *Representing a product system representation as a contractive semigroup and applications to regular isometric dilations*, preprint, arXiv:0706.3178v1 [math.OA], 2007.

[10] Orr Shalit, *Dilation theorems for contractive semigroups*, available online on http://tx.technion.ac.il/˜ orrms.

[11] B. Solel, *Representations of product systems over semigroups and dilations of commuting CP maps*, J. Funct. Anal. 235 (2006) 593–618.

[12] Baruch Solel, *Regular dilations of representations of product systems*, preprint, arXiv:math.OA/0504129, 2006.

[13] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators in Hilbert Space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.